## R. R. 1. Milner, B. E.

aug. 27!, 1939.

Dear Mr. Reid,

Thank you very much for your Sympathetic letter, which I recewied last evening. I trust that you personally feel that we are not asking for something to which we are not entitled! I have a clear conscience myself, & still think our case held up because of unkvid reports on the part of a neighborn some time ago. We are joing to have a hand time for awhile trying to meet on promises to the S. S. B. Day husband received a letter from the W. r. a. secretary to the effect that the allowance was "In mainterance only, not for making payments to the S. S. B.", yet, a few days ago, In. Isoapey care around to ask my husband to assign at least \$5:00 g his pension towards his monthly payment of 6.50 to the S. S.B. arguing that maintinance included nest, or its equivalent, p a dwellig. With three chiedren a om hands, we could not see on way to dog that just now. I wish I coved have had a talk with you. In husband, I sercent believe, shored Law had a layer pension pr his disability, but the fact is,

JI.H. Harrison lay

he was so Thankful to have recevered ( he was in Bath hospital p seven months, I not expected to live!) I to he able to walk, he never Thought J. pensions at all. Fortundely the bone en his les was not injured, so he does not walk with a lemp, let the boot on his sound limb always is the frist to need repair, showing he uses it more to save the injured one, lat unfortunally the muscles between the knee of Thigh were badly cut away, so that it is quite empossible p hin to sit or his heels is a Kneelig partion. This meles sit quelle unable to work in a mine, so. Le Lad done, so when the party business west to pieces Le cored not look around pa job y that sort. We have a pried whose foot was computated, but who had worked a diamond dies at Healey his disability did not prevent his doing that work, & of conse le las a highernete q pension Itan a husband. However, as he was getting along in years, we Theyle there would be no difficulty is obtaining the pull allowance, especially as we have a family to start at in the world. I wonder if you would till me just what the reason was prepusing gon request on on behalf.

Both Don. Payre . Don. J. Jones thirt we are entitled to

the pell allowana, so I do not understand what it can be.

In the Bat lette we received notifying us of the \$5.00 increase you obtained p us, it was stated that one case would be reconsidered late on.

breed I've apreid this is a rathe nameling letter, but you have been so very sympethetic. I trust you will not mind warding theoglit.

Gerhaps you would keep us in mind, I if you see on opportunity, at some patient time, to help us not, will you?

Will best Thank for both on seef In dustown.

Believe as,

John Sericerely,

Karces In. Harmson.

P. S. 21 you can mange it, will you try to have a few minutes talk with my husband at the Legion meeting in Sept.?

Newton, B.C., August 25th, 1939.

Mrs. F. M. Harrison, R. R. No. 1. Milner, B.C.

Dear Mrs. Harrison;

Just a note following my visit to Ottawa and to say that every representation was made by myself to the War Veterans' Allowance Board on behalf of Mr. Harrison, but I am afraid the results have not been successful.

I want to assure you it was through no lack of interest on my part and I am very disappointed indeed to have to write and tell you, but deemed it advisable, however, to let you know and I am indeed sorry and I realize just what this would have meant to you.

With kind personal regards,

I remain

Yours sincerely,

Tom Reid, M.P.

TR/P



#### WAR VETERANS' ALLOWANCE BOARD

IN YOUR REPLY REFER TO FILE NO.

AND PLEASE QUOTE

YOUR REGIMENTAL NUMBER

DALY BUILDING.
OTTAWA. August 11th, 1939.

T. Reid, Esquire, M.P., House of Commons, Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Mr. Reid:

Re: #464537 - HARRISON, William H.

Reference letter you left with me yesterday from the above named, this man was awarded Veterans' Allowance at the rate of \$20.00 per month, effective 1st of November, 1936. This was increased to \$30.00 per month, effective the 1st of January, 1938, and to \$35.00 per month, effective February 1st, 1939.

He also receives a 10% pension to a total amount for himself, wife and children, of \$13.00 per month, or a total cash income from the Government of \$48.00 per month, \$576.00 per annum.

He resides on a farm under the Soldier Settlement of Canada and his indebtedness to that body was reduced to \$1,000.00 under the Fermers' Creditors' Arrangement Act, this sum to be repayable in monthly payments of \$6.50. In effect, he is now asking that the Dominion Government provide \$5.00 per month of this \$6.50.

On the 11th of February, 1939, the Chairman of this Board advised you that this recipient's Allowance was being increased from \$30.00 to \$35.00 per month, when it was hoped that the additional amount would enable him to meet his payments to the Soldier Settlement of Canada.

In the opinion of this Board, his maintenance is provided for by his cash income as set out in the first part of this letter plus whatever maintenance is secured from his small holdings, and no further increase is indicated.

Yours very truly,

D. Carmichael, for My Acting Chairman.

DC/CH

W.V.A. 5 20M-6-89 Req 455

M.H. Hacrison 1929

# R. R. 1. Milner, B. E. July 25th, 1939.

Dear Mr. Reid.

mere? By husband has con to an greement with the S.S. B., under the Farmers Creditas anaye. ment act, & will be required to make a monthly payment to them I about 6.50 per month. His 'steady' income conseisté à "13.00 disabilité pension, v "35.10 Veterans allowance. according to the Cest his 'steady' encore may be as much as 60.00 per month, encludig the V. allowance, v this, I take it, for a married man will no dependent The property, on which live, Las been valued at \$1000.00, v it is this property on which we have to make the monthly payment of 6.50, & which the Board claims in repayment of our loan. toble sur are gled to lave car to an agreement with the S.S.B. + other credition, it does not need explaining that "48.00 - 6.50 is not enough to meet necessary family requirements. Its other 5.00 would be a great help. Will you see what can be done about it! your ver serceul, Harrison.

I.S. Would it he possible for you to drop in The 3 husband some Time when you are out this way?

Newton, B.C., July 26th, 1939. Mrs. F. M. Harrison, R. R. No. 1, Wilner, B.C. Dear Mrs. Harrison; I am in receipt of your letter of July 25th in which you again write me regarding the matter of War Veterans' Allowance and the circumstances connected with the payment to be made to the S.S.B. I note what you say regarding the \$6.50 which you are required to pay to the S.S.B. on your property, and quite realize some of the difficulties confronting you. You are asking if it would be possible to have the War Veterans' Allowance increased from \$35.00 to \$40.00, and may say in regard to this that as I intend to go down to Ottawa in a few days will take the appeal of your case with me and hope that the representations made will bring about the desired results. Assuring you of my continued interest on your behalf, and with kind personal regards, I remain Yours sincerely, Tom Reid, M.P. TR/P W.H. Harrison 19

RRI Milner Be In Jom Beid In !! Jeb 174/39 Offawa. Dear In Reid I have just received your letter of the 13th in which you state the W. a. Board is increasing my allowance to \$35.00 per month. Thank you very much indeed for your enterest & help in this matter. It will be a great help to us, although I still feel that they might as evell have come through with the full amount while they were at it. However I am sure your have done everything you could postebly do in placing the matter in before them in the proper light. Perhaps when they review my care later on they may bring it up to the massimium hendest regards

Gours very truly

WH Harrdson

, Ottawa, Feb. 17th, 1939.

Mrs. W. H. Harrison, R. R. 1, Milner, B.C.

Dear Mrs. Harrison: -

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of Feb. 11th, which reached me this morning. Evidently our letters crossed for I note a letter was sent you on Feb. 13th, which no doubt you have received by this time.

I can quite understand your feelings in the matter of having a nasty neighbour, and you have my sympathy in this regard, for I at one time experienced this myself.

Assuring you, however, of my ready willingness to be of assistance at any time.

With kind regards,

I remain,

Yours sincerely,

Member for New Westminster.

R. R.1. Milner, B.E.

4b.11th, 1939.

Dear In. Reid, I trust you will forgive ne for writing, but I feel very strongly that if gave you certain enformation, it might be of use to you in helpin my husband, as you have so kindly promised to do, to obtain the full allowance under ette U.a. act. I kner that the investigator, In Godfrey, was not centent to base his reports upon his own good judgment, Ist must needs quiz neighbours, and I also know that we have a neighbour whose comments with rejard to es would spell disaster. This grant as been described as "a man who talks too much - a very dangerous person". On more than one ouasies we have discovered him spreading untrue maliciono et demento about us, and as Le is on an S. S. B. Jam, Le las wide open apportunity, + would need very little prompties, to talt. Just ever Le io like this I do not kenn, Int le seen to enjoy dois mischief- In J. Jones know g him, voer few Law any respect p him.

I have been very load to write so about any person, lat in self defense I decided it evas necessary. De have seffered quite a litele or account of this endurabled, so for quite a while new, have done all we can to eteen clear of him. That however, world not prevent his tonjue from continuis to was. I am perfectly certain that my husbands in the sort of case that the U.a. aut is intended to help to the fullest extent, and we have quite a number of neighbour who word testify is on fowons were they called upon to do so. I thist however, that as investigator should be able to use his our judgment & discretion if he is lacking in discernment he should not be holding shed a position, & in comy case I shortd thish they are espected to do their best for deserving cases, rather than always Taking the stand that it is Their duty to pase allowanes down to a shade above poverty. Serkeps sony letter may let in a lettele day light on other cases which may cero to you notice. Once more, please fragine my untig - I believe you will understand her I feel about the matter. Ogain may Kash, Believe a forms very truly ( nes. W. H. Harrison). Hances In . Itaruson

, Ottawa, Feb. 13th, 1939.

Mr. W. H. Harrison, R. R. 1, Milner, B.C.

Dear Mr. Harrison: -

I am writing you with further reference to the matter of your appeal which you made to me regarding your war Veteran's Allowance case, and following my letter of Jan. 30th.

ions to the War Veteran's Allowance Board and have just been informed by them, that they are increasing your allowance to \$35.00 per month, and will review your case later on.

whilst realizing this is not as much as asked, am pleased, however, that some increase has been made. Having just received this information deemed it advisable to write and let you know the result of the representations made on your behalf.

will be pleased on hearing from you to endeavour to do so.

With kind regards.

I remain,

Yours very truly,

Member for New Westminster.



1 18 8

### WAR VETERANS' ALLOWANCE BOARD

OTTAWA

February 11th, 1939

T. Reid, Esquire, M.P., House of Commons, OTTAWA, Ontario.

Dear Mr. Reid:

Wm. H. Harrison - #464537

Further to my letter to you of February 4th, the Director of Soldier Settlement advises me that although this veteran has been receiving \$40. per month in the way of pension and Veterans' Allowance for the past year, he has made no payment on his account since 1930, and our last report is that his taxes are in arrears for the last three years.

We have no way of knowing whether or not if the allowance is increased, he will apply any portion towards the reduction of his taxes or account with the Soldier Settlement.

We are however increasing the allowance to \$35. and will review the case in a year to see if this has improved his position at all.

Yours very truly,

W.S. Woods, Chairman,

War Veterans' Allowance Board.

WSW/ED

GM/EK



#1-1199

OFFICE OF
THE DIRECTOR OF SOLDIER SETTLEMENT
OTTAWA, CANADA

February 2nd, 1939.

Thomas Reid, Esq., M.P., House of Commons, Ottawa.

Dear Mr. Reid, -

This afternoon you phoned me enquiring as to the standing of one W. H. Harrison, of R. R. #1, Milner, with this Department.

Briefly the situation is as follows. Harrison is a returned soldier who obtained a loan from the Board in 1919 on the security of a parcel of privately owned land in the Milner district, consisting of approximately eight and a half acres and equipped with certain buildings. From time to time his account has been credited with certain legislative reductions totalling \$765.71. During the first ten years following the granting of the loan Harrison repaid \$885.00, but since 1930 has paid nothing.

Harrison is now a man aged sixty years. He draws a war disability pension for a thigh wound and in addition he is in receipt of a War Veterans' allowance of \$30.00, making a total income from these two sources of \$43.00 per month. He has three children, ages 17, 14 and 13 - all attending school. His farming operations have deteriorated to a point where he keeps a family cow and a dozen hens.

On the 1st of November, 1938, his total indebtedness to this Department stood at \$2,236.54 plus an item of fire insurance, \$18.37. It would appear from the file that our local representative suggested to Harrison the wisdom of seeking a reduction of his debt to this Department under the provisions of the Farmers' Creditors Arrangement Act. On the 26th of September last

17

Harrison filed an application wherein he valued his land at \$1,000. and sought a reduction of the Department's claim to that point. Upon investigation the Department has duly agreed with the settler's request, and I may say that confirmation of this reduction is at the present time awaiting action by the Board of Review for the Province of British Columbia.

It is worthy of note that at the time this man made application to the Official Receiver none of his other creditors put in an appearance. Our representative suggested to Harrison that, in view of the very substantial reduction in his account, in view also of the lack of any productive farming operations, and having regard to his income from pension and War Veterans: allowance sources, he would be well advised to enter into some arrangement with us providing for small monthly instalments in order to keep the reduced debt in good standing, approximately \$6.00 a month being required. Harrison was insistent at that time that he could not spare any of his income, although it was clearly pointed out to him by the Official Receiver that if he were living anywhere else he would be expected to pay at least modest rental for his home, and I think it is not unfair on my part to endorse the attitude taken by the Official Receiver.

Trusting the foregoing will enable you to deal with the enquiry you have received in this case.

Yours faithfully,

Director.

Muchison

, Ottawa, Feb. 9th, 1939.

Mr. W. H. Harrison, R. R. 1, Milner, B.C.

Dear Mr. Harrison: -

Following my letter of Jan. 30th I am again writing you regarding the matter of your appeal which you made to me regarding your War Veteran's Allowance.

For your information may say that I was down before the War Veteran's Allowance board the other day making representations on your behalf, and understand that they are asking for a further report on your case, after which your case will be given further consideration.

Having made the representations to the Board deemed it advisable to write and let you know, and trust that the results you desire will be brought about.

Assuring you of my interest on your

behalf.

With kind personal regards.

I remain,

Yours very truly,

Member for New Westminster.



### WAR VETERANS' ALLOWANCE BOARD

OTTAWA

February 4th, 1939

T. Reid, Esquire, M.P., House of Commons, OTTAWA, Ontario.

Dear Mr. Reid:

W. H. Harrison - #12958

Your letter of February 2nd is to hand in the above case.

This veteran is receiving \$40. per month from pension and War Veterans' Allowance and is located on a Soldier Settlement property which contributes towards his maintenance in the way of a home, fuel, milk and vegetables.

He requested an increase in his allowance so that he might pay the Soldier Settlement of Canada a monthly payment on his property.

However his chance of retiring the debt on this place looks to be fairly hopeless, but I am asking the Soldier Settlement of Canada for a report as to what he has paid in the past and will write you further.

Yours very truly,

Soood

W.S. Woods, Chairman,

War Veterans' Allowance Board.

WSW/ED

, Ottawa, Feb. 2nd, 1939.

Mr. W. S. Woods, Chairman W.V.A. Daly Bldg., O T T A W A

W. H. HARRISON # 12958

Dear Mr. Woods:-

Mr. W. H. Harrison of Milner, B.C.

Mr. Harrison receives War Veteran's Allowance. In 1935 at the time when his health was so poor he was rated although under sixty years unemployable. In 1937 his allowance was raised to \$30.00. His appeal to me is in an effort to have the full amount of the Allowance given to him.

Mr. Harrison lived on his present place previous to the war and received a loan under the S.S.B. on his return from Overseas so as to enable him to go into the poultry business. His payments are a long ways in arrears, I believe, and Mr. Harrison informs me he is having a most difficult time to carry on. He is a man now over sixty years of age, and it would be appreciated if you would have this case again looked into with a view of seeing if anything could be done to raise his Allowance to the full amount.

Yours very truly,

Member for New Westminster.

ARI quilares 120 , Ottawa, Jan. 30th, 1939 Mr. W. H. Harrison, R. R. 1, Milner, B.C. Dear Mr. Harrison: -I am in receipt of your two letters of Jan. 23rd and the other of Jan. 25th in which you write me in connection with the matter of your War Veteran's Allowance. I have read your letters over with a great deal of care and may say that just as soon as I have an opportunity intend to take your case up personally with the War Veteran's Allowance Board and in the hope that it may be possible to have them increase your allowance to the full amount. Just as soon as I have made the representations will be pleased to write you again and let you know the results. You can be assured of my doing everything possible on your behalf. Trusting this will be satisfactory meantime. I remain,

Yours very truly,

Member for New Westminster.

AR! Milner 136 Jan 25 139 In Jom Reid M. S. 6- Slawa Dear Fir I have just received a letter from the Fecretary of the W. V. A. commissee to the effect that my case has been carefully reviewed & that my present allowance is adequate for main tenance purposes. It seems that \$ 40 00 a mouth is generally admitted to be the amount necessary for the maintenance of a veteran + his wife. It seems very unfair to me that the Et or a committee, should help themselves to my little pension in order to bring the \$30 They allow me up to the required \$ 40 00 thereby leaving me with nothing wherewith to meet my & SB obligations or take care of my children. If I had met with success instead of desaster my pension would not have been taken from me as long as I lived. I believe the WV a is a toubthule for the old age Vension, paid to pre-aged unemployable ex service men, also to men over sixly. There does not seem to be any question as to The amount an old age pensioner is to he lives on his own property & has an income

rigi to \$10 ter month. as to my 5.5. B affairs, I can do nothing if the Et. V. a. commillee main hair their present decision. But I wonder if you could manage to explain matters more fully to them. They seem under the unpression that I want a raise in my allowance in order to pay off the delet on this property. That is quite un/rossible, what I want is enough to pay a monthly rental, which I should have to pay somewhere else if I am forced off this place. It to all very to say the Va is for maintenance only but a man much keep a roof over this head. If this appeal fails I am at a loss to know Trusting you may be able to assest me

R RI milner Jan 23 rd / 39 hu Join Reich M. P OHawa Dear Viz I know you are a very busy man whate to bother you with my houbles. But as you are in 6 Hawa now you may be able to look into this matter for the without too much incomvenience to yourself. Last dept when I park my sixtieth burthday I applied to the War Détorans Allowance committee for an increase in my allowance to the full amount harfable to a married man under the Act. Imight say that I got half the allowance three years when my health was so poor that I was rated unden ployable by Dr man. a year ago it was rdisable to theirly dollars + we have barely managed to scratch along as I have three children. I got a letter from the Vecre lang of the formmittee in reply to my application stating that they thought as I lived in the country I should manage with the amount I was selling However a short time after, me Dowding The investigater called. Jexplained my position to him & he certainly seemed to be of the opinion that I was entitled

to the full amount. I presume he sent his report in about the end of betober. Lince then I have heard nothing more of the matter. I got this place before the war, but got a loan from the S.S. B on my return from over-seds to go into poulty. my payment are a tong way in arrears! as I owned the place before the war I didn't come under the revaluation scheme some years ago. Recently I was given a chance by the SSB to have the place revalued Under the Farm Gredelor ach. They offer to take a big reduction, but should on a regular monthly payment. Its impossible for me to make that payment with my present allowance. It the W. V. a. committee would bring my allowance up to the massemun I bould manage it, + should not have to spend my days & nights worrying about loving my home, as I understand the Farm Ereditor. act experien in in June this year I should very much like to get formething definably settled as soon as possible. This monthly payment that the SSB is insishing on is really a rent as I have no expectations of levely tong enough to pay for the place. There are three veterans living within

three miles of here, two married & one single who are getting the full allowance + none of them have dependent children, one is on a SSB place. I can'h under Hand why I should be discrimen ated against. If you could take this matter up with The Committee I think they would undershand the case better. archie Payne who has known me since I came to Langley in 1913 would I am sure verify this statement. Trusting you may be able to help me in this matter, I thanking you very sincerely for your good work in behalf of all esc-service men, during the years you have been in the House.