Name of Claimant ODAMURA, Toshio

Case 357

Custodian File 8687

REAL PROPERTY											Total
Greater Vancouver		Rural (except V.L.A.)			V.L.A. (except Mission Village)			V.L.A. Mission Village			
Sale Price	5% thereof & 12.50	Sale Price	10% there-of	Charges 12.50 & Comm.	Sale Price	Total Award 80% of all Sale Prices		Sale Price	Total Award 125% of all Sale Prices:		
						% of Total	Amount		% of Total	Amount	

PERSONAL PROPERTY								
Motor Vehicles		Boats and Boat Gear						
Sale Price	25% thereof	Sale Price	Nelson Bros. 23.5% of Sale Price	Other Sales 28.5% of Sale Price	Equipment charges paid to purchasers in error. Repay to owners	Amount of Claims for Boat Gear Declared & Not Found & Recorded Now Missing	45% of amount in next preceding column	

NETS						
Total award for Nets plus Sale Price	Total Claim for Nets Sold, Declared Not Found and Recorded Now Missing	Percentage Total Award to Total Claim	Claim for Nets Sold Declared Not Found, & Recorded Now Missing	Apply % ratio to Claim	Deduct Custodian Sale Price	

MISCELLANEOUS CHATTELS								
Claim for goods Sold By Auction	Sale Price of Goods Sold By Auction	Rebates of charges 30% of Sale Price	Ratio in % of Sale Price to Claim	Claim for goods Declared Not Found, Recorded Now Missing, & Sold Not Paid	Application of % ratio to amount in next preceding column	Sale Price of goods Sold by Tender	12% of Sale Price	
307	122.50	36.75	39.9%	149.00	59.45			96.20

TOTAL RECOMMENDATION	96.20

1540

CASE NO: 357.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

Nelson, B. C.,

March 8th, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

TOSHIO ODAMURA.

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING.

Original.

CASE NO: 357.

IN THE MATTER OF THE "INQUIRIES ACT"

PART 1, REVISED STATUTES OF CANADA 1927, CHAPTER 99.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

B E F O R E

(THE HON OURABLE MR. JUSTICE H.I. BIRD, COMMISSIONER).

10 Nelson, B. C.,

March 8th, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

TOSHIO ODAMURA.

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING.

20 APPEARANCES:

J.W.G. HUNTER, Esq.,	appearing for the Dominion Government.
A.W. FISHER, Esq.,	appearing for the Claimant.

A. WATSON, Esq.,	Secretary.
D.J. HANDFORD, Esq.,	Official Interpreter.
G. HAMBLETON, Esq.,	Official Reporter.

30

MR. FISHER: This will be in the matter of the claim of Toshio Odamura.

If your Lordship pleases, I would like to make sure that the Reporter and the Clerk have the record clear now, and the claim numbers clear.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

MR. FISHER: The position now is that so far as the joint real estate is concerned, it has gone under the claim of Narae Odamura as No. 356 and that we are now proceeding with the case of Toshio Odamura, I presume, as 357.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Which will be treated as a claim for chattel property only.

MR. FISHER: Chattels only. And the front page of this claim will be deleted. With regard to the chattels I ask to amend the valuation of item 1, a three piece bedroom suite with spring from $100.00 to $75.00.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. FISHER: The total will now read $456.00. I also ask to amend the Custodian's selling price which it will subsequently appear from the chattel analysis form is $55.00, so that the net claim is $401.00.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

MR. FISHER: That applies down below as well. "Plus shares", I guess it is, and any shares that are listed are abandoned.

NARAE ODAMURA, a witness called on behalf of the claimant, being first duly sworn, testified through the Interpreter as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISHER:

Q Mrs. Odamura, you recall Mr. Leckie going up to see you and interviewing you in New Denver, Slocan, or Slocan, and you prepared a list of the chattels of your husband, Toshio Odamura. Were you present at that time? A : Yes.

10 Q And you are familiar with the personal chattels that your husband owned? A: Yes.

Q They are mostly made up of your household goods?

A Yes.

Q And you are familiar with the prices that were paid and the information that is set out on this sheet is true and correct to the best of your information and belief? A: Yes.

Q Would you mind having her sign it? You possibly might put her husband's name first and hers. I

20 presume that would be best, my lord.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

A (Witness complies).

MR. FISHER: The only other statement I have to make in connection with it, my lord, is that I ask to file the chattel analysis sheet on the matter, the statement, Exhibit 1, and there is attached to it a typewritten list of chattels; exhibit 2 will be the analysis of personal property claim, my lord, and in this instance you have again the combining of goods with the previous claimant. It is also

30 to be noted that the only item that appears to be

separately listed on the sale is an item, "Two one horsepower motors". It is apparently the only item of consequence. It is shown for $52.00, the other $55.00.

THE COMMISSIONER: One of the motors was not sold?

MR. FISHER: Two of them, I think. Both sold, my lord.

MR. HUNTER: Both of them.

(STATEMENT MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 1).

(ANALYSIS MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 2).

10 MR. FISHER: Your witness, Mr. Hunter.

MR. HUNTER: My lord, it is submitted that the personal chattels were sold for their fair market value and that for any which were not sold the claim is exorbitant.

I think the best information I could give your Lordship, if you refer to the schedule on Exhibit 1,--

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. HUNTER: The three piece bedroom suite is the only bedroom suite that was sold was labelled as belong-
20 ing to a brother, and I will ask the witness later about that, and it was credited to him. The dinner set was not sold nor was the saw anvil. As nearly as I can make out from the auction sheet, there is a $2.00 credit for kitchen chairs and except for the items which I mention, all these credits have been credited to the father.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. HUNTER: $2.00 opposite the $6.00. The dolls, assorted, three of those were sold for $1.50.
30 The two motors were sold for $52.00, that is one

of the credits to the claimant. The two cane chairs were sold for $10.00.. The davenport was sold for $11.00. The four dozen sealers were sold for $3.00 and that is the other credit which was made to the claimant. The cushions were not sold, apparently. The stove board was sold for 50 cents. The baby high chair was sold for 75 cents. The shelf was sold for $1.00, the baby buggy for $3.50, the lawnmower for $8.00, the small table for 25 cents, the end table for $2.50, the belt lacing for $7.00, the garbage can for $1.25, the bathtub and vases for 50 cents, the nail stripper for $1.25, the belt, wire and springs for $7.00. The galvanized pails were not sold, they were not found. One wooden bed for $2.00, cot for $3.00, cupboard for 25 cents.

THE COMMISSIONER: You are going a little fast now. Wooden bed, $2.00. The cot, $3.00.

MR. HUNTER: Wooden bed, $2.00, the cot $3.00, my lord.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

MR. HUNTER: The cupboard, 25 cents; the shelves $3.50; the table and brooms, 75 cents; the bread pan was not found.

So that actually for those last items for which claim is made of $10.00, they were sold for $9.50 or something.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. HUNTER: What it boils down to, my lord, is this; if you take out the bedroom suite and the dinner set and the saw anvil, for items for which they are

claiming $366.00, because those three items, or at least the first two items take out your $90.00, they received $100.00.

MR. FISHER: Could we have the totals of the two now?

MR. HUNTER: One hundred and forty dollars.

THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute, I am afraid I am getting confused now. Items for which the claimant claimed how much?

MR. HUNTER: About $366.00.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. HUNTER: It would be actually $351.00, because if you take the first three items off that --

THE COMMISSIONER: Were sold for how much?

MR. HUNTER: $140.00, my lord, of which $55.00 has been credited to the claimant and $78.00 has been credited to the claimant's father.

MR. FISHER: May I have that again?

MR. HUNTER:. Well, for items for which they have claimed--

MR. FISHER: No, just the figure. You stated they got

20 $140.00, did you?

MR. HUNTER: Yes.

MR. FISHER: And what else?

MR. HUNTER: That is all. $55.00 of that has been credited to the claimant and $78.00 has been credited to the claimant's father.

THE COMMISSIONER: What happened to the other seven?

MR. HUNTER: Maybe my addition is incorrect. Yes, I am afraid it is. I am sorry, it is only $133.00 -- $55.00 and $78.00. It was my addition which was

30 weak. I had to change these figures because I

found the one item for which I was making credit to the claimant actually belonged to the father, and I had to take it out.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I see. Now the dinner set and the saw anvil at that time were declared but not found, is that it?

MR. HUNTER: I think they were declared in general terms rather than actually dedared. The declaration, my lord, is in very general terms.

10 MR. FISHER: That applies to the dinner set and saw anvil and you say on your inventory in either instance.-

THE COMMISSIONER: Would counsel be good enough to address me rather than to conduct a conversation between themselves?

MR. HUNTER: I don't think they were either inventoried. Certainly the dinner set wasn't inventoried, my lord. A number of dishes were inventoried, but you can't necessarily say it is the same thing. There were a number of dishes sold but I haven't

20 been able to give a credit for them because I can't identify them.

THE COMMISSIONER: In any case you are not taking the position there was no declaration; you are prepared to concede the declaration as made was sufficiently broad to include the dinner set and the anvil, is that the situation?

MR. HUNTER: Certainly the dinner set and the anvil, my lord. They were carpenter's tools, my lord.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, very good. Now you said earlier

30 that the bedroom suite was sold but credited to a

brother, is that the situation?

MR. HUNTER: A bedroom suite, my lord. That is what I would like to find out.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HUNTER:

Q Your brother. Who did he live with, your father or yourself? A: No, he lived separately.

Q In a house by himself? A: Yes.

10 Q And do you know whether he had a bedroom suite?

A Yes, he had one.

Q And do you know whether he stored it in your father-in-law's house? A: Yes.

Q So there were actually how many bedroom suites in your father-in-law's house?

A Two.

Q What about your father-in-law? Did he have a bedroom suite? A: Just a bed.

Q So there were two of them there?

20 A Yes.

Q Was yours labelled, do you know?

A Yes.

Q I see. You are sure that that bedroom suite was neither sold nor shipped to you?

A It was not sent to us.

Q I see. And it wasn't sold before you left?

A No.

Q What did it consist of? A: A bed and dresser, a chest of drawers and a bed.

30 Q It was a bed, a dresser and chest of drawers, is

that right? A: Yes.

Q And what type of wood? A: I don't know what type of wood.

Q Did the dresser have a mirror attached to it?

A Yes.

Q Was it finished when you bought it or did your husband finish it? A: No, it was finished when he bought it.

Q And you bought it -- when was it? Y ou bought it in 1936 and paid $125.00. That is all right. She has stated that here. Was it a light colour or a dark colour? A: Dark.

MR. HUNTER: I presume, my lord, it was some wood stained in mahogany or walnut. I judge for $125.00 you wouldn't get the real thing.

THE COMMISSIONER: Now I suppose the situation is this, that one bedroom suite was sold from that house.

MR. HUNTER: Only one was sold.

THE COMMISSIONER: And you can't tell which it was?

MR. HUNTER: I think it couldn't have been the claimant's if what she states is correct, because this piece was laballed with the brother's name and that is why it was credited to the brother.

THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I see.

MR. HUNTER: Q: How did you and your brother-in-law and your father-in-law go about storing this in the house? Did y ou each take separate rooms and store your stuff in it, or how did you go about it? A: They were all put in the same room.

Q How big a room? A: I don't know.

Q You are sure of that? Are you absolutely positive?

A Yes.

MR. HUNTER: I don't know, my lord, it seems incredible because with the great list that the father had and this, it would need a warehouse, I think. I think she must be mistaken.

Q Are you sure you are not mistaken there?

A No.

10 Q You are sure everything your father-in-law had, your brother and his wife--your brother-in-law and his wife had, and that you had, were all in one room? A: Well, maybe some other room but all in the upstairs part of the house.

Q So you don't know whether they were in one room in the house or not? A: No, I am not sure.

Q And were they mixed up? A: Yes, I think

20 so, mixed up.

Q How did you identify your property? Did you put tags on everything, or just how did you go about it?

A Yes, we had our names on our own property.

Q What about the little things like kitchenware and that type of thing?

A They were put in boxes and the name put on the boxes.

MR. HUNTER: As far as I can see, my lord, there is nothing on this file which indicates this place was broken into. My friend can examine the McMa

30 master files and see if he can find something.

That is all.

THE COMMISSIONER: Any re-examination, Mr. Fisher?

MR. FISHER: No, I have nothing to add, my lord, with the exception that it seemed to me that aside from what statement your Lordship might reach in the actual valuations, the Department in this instance might be entitled to a further credit of $78.00 in regard to this claim. I think that would have to be conceded.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: How do you arrive at $78.00?

MR. FISHER: I think that is the figure he has given over and above the $55.00 that has already been paid. In other words, I think he has gone through the list of goods that he can identify from this list on the other, and states that the revenue received from that totalled $78.00 which would indicate that the present claimant should collect that from the other one. But I think it should be with this addition, that the credit that the

20 previous claimant, Magoichi Odamura, has shown should accordingly be reduced by $78.00.

MR. HUNTER: That is conceded, my lord.

MR. FISHER: And that claimant has already shown a full credit to the Department, so I submit they shouldn't have it twice.

THE COMMISSIONER: I thought that one of these claimants had conceded that he could collect from his father, isn't that the case?

MR. HUNTER: That was this man's, but when my friend

30 gave us the credit on the father's claim for the

chattels, he did not include that $78.00; he gave us the total credit. I think that is what you are getting at.

THE COMMISSIONER: I see. So that you concede there is $78.00 to whihh this claimant is entitled which he has not received, is that the situation?

MR. HUNTER: No, I prefer to take it off the father's claim because in his letter he said he had arranged with the father, my lord.

10 MR. FISHER: That possibly might be the best way, if your Lordship pleases, except the transcript would be a mess if we go back to another claim and change it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well may I take it, then, that counsel would prefer to have me make the adjustment between the father, who was Magoichi Odamura, than that the claimants should make the adjustments between themselves, is that it?

MR. HUNTER: The reason I say this, my lord, is that the claimant in this specific case acknowledged that

20 he would be willing to readjust between his father and himself, therefore the Custodian did nothing further about the adjustment and it looked odd at this time that we should allow him $78.00 which he may have received from his father. I think the credit to the father should be reduced by $78.00.

MR. FISHER: It is all right with me, my lord, if you will go back and amend an earlier claim.

30 THE COMMISSIONER: Now is Magoichi Odamura here?

MR. FISHER: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: You had better bring him up here and we will get his consent to it so we willhave no question about it.

Odamura, just come up here where you can hear me? Now, you have received credit for $78.00 for chattels that belonged to your son?

MR. M. ODAMURA: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are you content that there should be deducted from your claim that amount of $78.00 so that the credit can be given to your son?

MR. ODAMURA: Yes, that would be agreeable.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, all right, thank you.

MR. FISHER: If I may inject, my lord, that will increase the amount of his net claim by $78.00.

THE COMMISSIONER: I am afraid I don't understand you.

MR. FISHER: I think that is the effect of the operation your Lordship has just performed. Magoichi gave the Department credit for $287.35.

THE COMMISSIONER: I follow you.

MR. FISHER: The present effect is that he has now only to be given credit for $78.00 less than that, sothat his claim will be increased by $78.00.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

Now, Mr. Interpreter, would you please explain what has transpired in regard to the father-in-law to this witness and ask her consent to it?

(Interpreted).

THE COMMISSIONER: Very good. Is that all?

MR. FISHER: Yes, my lord. She has now agreed to amend

her claim by showing a further credit of $78.00 leaving her now with a net claim of $548.50.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is all this involved transaction satisfactory to you, Mr. Hunter?

MR. HUNTER: So long as we only pay it once, my lord.

MR. FISHER: Thank you, Mrs. Odamura.

(Witness aside)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED SINE DIE)

I Hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein.

10

Gordon Hambleton

"G. HAMBLETON"
Official Reporter.

20

30

Shares of HANEY BOX COMPANY LIMITED

500 shares @ $1.00 $ 500.00 disallld

Note: First 1,2, and 3 of personal property claim 5(e) were not listed in the statement I have received from the Custodian.

Case 357

NOV 25 1947

IN THE MATTER OF a Commission to Investigate Claims of Japanese Canadians for Property Losses.

ACKNOWLEDGED

TO: The Commissioner,
Office of the Custodian,
Royal Bank Bldg.,
Vancouver, B.C.

File 8687

Nelson

28

Pursuant to the notice issued on the 26th day of September, 1947, I submit the following claim:

(1) NAME ODAMURA TOSHIO (RCMP) Reg. No. 13619
(Print) Surname Given Name

(2) Pre-Evacuation Address R.R.No.1 Haney, B.C.

(3) Present Address Slocan City, B.C.

(4) REAL ESTATE

(a) Street Address (if any) Municipality of Maple Ridge, B.C.
City or Municipality, Province

(b) Legal description (lot number, block number, section number, etc.)
Lot 1,2, and 3, Block 1 of the S.W. ¼ of Sec. 22, Township 12, Map 5317, District of New Westminster, C. of E. 52565

(c) Type of Real Property (cross out words which do not apply):
(i) Farm
(ii) ~~Residence~~ Type of business
(iii) ~~Business~~
(iv) Any other type of property (describe)

(d) What was your interest in the property (e.g., sole owner, life tenant, joint tenant, owner of one half or one third interest, leasehold, etc.) joint tenant

(e) Fair market value at date of sale (estimate this to the best of your ability):
(i) Land - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $ 750.00
(ii) Buildings - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $
(iii) If business, put value on business as going concern (including land and buildings, tenancies, chattels, fixtures, stock-in-trade, goodwill and accounts receivable) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $
(iv) Total value (if you cannot give separate values for lands and buildings just fill in total value) - $ 750.00
(v) Amount at which Custodian sold property and credited your account - - $ 280.00
(f) Loss (This figure is arrived at by deducting item (v) from item (iv) - - - $ 470.00

wash out
dealt with under 356

(5) PERSONAL PROPERTY

(a) Place or places at which property was left by the claimant at date of evacuation
in my father's house

(b) Type of premises in which property left (e.g., house, warehouse, garage, shed, church basement, etc.)
Father's house (upstair)

(c) How stored or packed at time of evacuation Packed small things in boxes numbered from 1 to 16, larger things are unpacked.

(over)

(d) In whose care was property left at date of evacuation by the claimant. (This question refers to the terms of reference which exclude claims where the property was lost, destroyed or stolen while under the custody, control or management of any person other than the Custodian appointed by the owner of the property. It involves some definite arrangement whereby you appointed someone to take care of the property and such person accepted the responsibility of so doing. Unless such an arrangement was made the question should be answered "in no one's care")

Mr.C.Joiner, of Haney, B.C. (living on premises)

(e) Itemized description of personal property which is the subject of the claim:

1.	3 pcs. bedroom suite with spring	Estimated Value $ ~~100.00~~ 75
2.	1 box dinner set	Estimated Value $ 20.00
3.	1 saw anvil	Estimated Value $ 15.00
4.	6 kitchen chairs	Estimated Value $ 6.00
5.	1 box dolls	Estimated Value $ 40.00
6.	2 1 H.P. motors (almost new)	Estimated Value $ 155.00
7.	2 cane chairs	Estimated Value $ 20.00
8.	1 davenport	Estimated Value $ 35.00
9.	Other properties	Estimated Value $ 90.00
10.	Total	Estimated Value $ ~~481.00~~ 456
	Less Custodian's selling price	~~109.75~~ 55.
	TOTAL CLAIM FOR PROPERTY LOSS	$ ~~371.25~~ 401

N.B.—If you cannot list all the items here prepare a separate list with values set out opposite each item and attach it to the form. The item "personal property" includes shares, bonds, mortgages, loans, notes and all other forms of property not included in real estate.

(f) Total claim including real and personal property (this figure can be arrived at by adding items 4(f) and 5(e) - - - - - - plus shares - - - - - - - - - - - - - $ 1341.25

(6) (a) Place at which claimant prefers to be heard. (Vancouver, Kamloops, Nelson, Lethbridge, Moose Jaw, Winnipeg, Toronto or Montreal.)

New Denver, B.C.

(b) Do you require the services of an interpreter at the hearing? Yes or no no

N.B.—This Declaration must be sworn before a Commissioner, Notary Public or other person entitled to take declarations. All lawyers are qualified to do so.

DOMINION OF CANADA)
of)
TO WIT:)

I, Sophie Adamina of the City
of Slocan in the Prov of BC

DO SOLEMNLY DECLARE THAT:

The information set out in the form above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath, and by virtue of 'The Canada Evidence Act".

DECLARED before me at the City)
of Slocan)
in the Prov of BC)
this 21 day of November)
A.D. 1947.)

Sophie Adamina

W M Edwin Graham
A Commissioner &c.

N.B. — THIS FORM FULLY COMPLETED AND SWORN BEFORE A COMMISSIONER SHOULD BE MAILED BY REGISTERED MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER, c/o THE OFFICE OF THE CUSTODIAN, ROYAL BANK BLDG., VANCOUVER, B.C., BEFORE NOVEMBER 30th, 1947. IF YOU CANNOT MAIL IT BEFORE THIS DATE SET OUT BELOW REASONS FOR THE DELAY.

Toshio Odamura
(Claimant's Name)

EXHIBIT No. 357-1
DATE 8 Mar 1948
FILED BY a. Fisher

PERSONAL CHATTELS

13619.
Reg. No.

Description of Major Items (and particularly of goods lost, stolen or destroyed)	Approximate Date Purchase	New or Used When Purchased	Price Paid	Condition when Evacuated	Estimated value at Date of Evacuation
3 piece bed set inner springs	1936	new	124.00	good	75.00
1 dinner set (over 100 pieces)	"	"	got for present	"	20.00
1 saw anvil	1940	"	dont remember	"	15.00
6 kitchen chairs	1937	"	12.00	"	6.00
1 box Jap dolls (2 doz - diff sizes)	1940	"	about 75.00	"	40.00
2 (two) 1 HP motors	1940	"	180.00	used very little	155.00
2 cane chairs	1941	"	30.00	good as new	20.00
1 Davenport	1939	"	48.00	very good	35.00

Description of Storage of Goods:
The chattels for which claim is being made were left at the home of Mr Magoichi Odamura (father), in one room. The tenants Mr & Mrs Jarvi were in the house when it was vacated by father, self and wife —

General Statement as to Chattels not Described above:
The above listed goods & other chattels for which claim is made are itemized (with values) on list attached hereto

Additional Comments, if any: The goods for which claim is being made are entirely exclusive of any goods belonging to Magoichi Odamura (father), who is making separate claim for his own goods. In estimating values I have deducted amounts from original cost, in each instance, to allow for depreciation for use —

T. Odamura
~~M. Odamura~~
SIGNATURE

Toshio Mrs. Odamura

LIST OF CHATTELS			Estimated Values
1	3 pcs. bedroom suite with spring		75.00
1	Dinner Set	not sold	20.00
1	Saw anvil	not sold	15.00
6	Kitchen chairs	sold at $2	6.00
	Dolls (assorted)		40.00
2	1 H.P. motors	$52	155.00
2	Cane chairs	$10	20.00
1	Davenport	$11	35.00
		Total	$366.00

OTHER ITEMS		Estimated Values
4 dozen sealers	sold $3	$ 8.75
Cushions	not "	3.00
1 Stove board	.50	1.50
1 Baby high chair	1.75	6.00
1 Shelf	3.50	2.50
1 Baby buggy		10.00
1 Lawn mower		15.00
1 Small table	25.	1.00
1 End table	2.50	3.50
Belt lacing	7.-	10.00
1 Garbage can	1.25	2.75
Bath tub & vases	.50	3.00
1 Nail stripper	1.25	4.00
Belt, Wire, & springs	7.-	8.00
2 Galvanized pails	not sold	1.00
1 Wooden bed	$2.-	
Cot	$3	
1 Cupboard	25	10.00
1 Shelves	3.50	
Table & brooms	75	
1 Bread can	not found.	
	Total	$ 90.00

ANALYSIS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY CLAIM

FILE No 8687 EXHIBIT No.

NAME Toshio ODAMURA REG. No. 13929

DATE	INVENTORY	DETAILS OF CLAIM		SALES		SOLD WITH REAL PROP.	DECL. NOT FOUND
				AUCTION	TENDER &c		
DECLARATION May 8/42	TAKEN BY S.C. Carlsen R.M. Anderson						
EVACUATION Sept. 24/42	DATE 14th Mar. 1943						
	These goods left on father's property, Magoichi ODAMURA# 8685 and sold with his chattels.						
Household furniture	2 metal cribs & mattresses	1. 3 pc. bedroom suite with spring	$100.00				
Kitchen utensils							
Chinaware	11 boxes nailed	2. 1 box dinner set	20 00				
Frigidaire	1 baby bath tub	3. 1 saw anvil	15 00				
Lamps	1 shrine	4. 6 kitchen chairs	6 00				
Carpenters tools	2 auger bits	5. 1 box dolls	40 00				
	1 nail holder	6. 2 1 HP motors (almost new)	155 00	$52 00			
	Carton utensils & dishes						
	3 ctns. clothes	7. 2 cane chairs	20 00				
	Ctn. wooden trays	8. 1 Davenport	35 00				
	Ctn. personal effects	9. Other properties	90 00				
	Pkge books						
	1 bed complete		$481.00				
	Baby high chair			Misc.	3 00		
	2metal boxes locked						
	Box gramaphone records			$55 00			
	Guitar						
	Baby buggy						
	2 trunks clothes						
	1 metal saw block						
	2 Chests of drawers cont. clothes & pers. effects.						
	1 45" rule						
	Book shelf cont. 3 pkgs. leather, belt lacing 3/8"						
	5 pkgs. Bulldog belt metal lacers						
	Sml. quant. electric wire						
	Few personal effects						
	Lawn mower						

EXHIBIT No. 357-2
DATE 8 mar 1948
FILED BY A. Fisher

8687

13929

EXHIBIT No.

CASE No.

VENUE Nelson

SALES		SOLD WITH REAL PROP.	DECL. NOT FOUND	NO RECORD AT ANYTIME	ABANDONED	NO ACCOUNT, THEFT &c	UNSOLD		REMARKS
	TENDER &c								
									Storage
00									
00									Chattels were left at the home of his father at 2909 Dewdney Trunk Rd., Haney, and were sold with those of his father and brother. Proceeds redited to father, Magoichi ODAMURA. All parties asked to make their own adjustment of funds. 2 motors and some sealers only, identified as belonging to Toshio, were sold and credited to him.
00									