Name of Claimant ARIZONO, Masao Case 644

Custodian File X1/747

REAL PROPERTY											Total
Greater Vancouver		Rural (except V.L.A.)			V.L.A. (except Mission Village)			V.L.A. Mission Village			
Sale Price	5% thereof & 12.50	Sale Price	10% thereof	Charges 12.50 & Comm.	Sale Price	Total Award 80% of all Sale Prices		Sale Price	Total Award 125% of all Sale Prices:		
						% of Total	Amount		% of Total	Amount	

PERSONAL PROPERTY								
Motor Vehicles		Boats and Boat Gear						
Sale Price	25% thereof	Sale Price	Nelson Bros. 23.5% of Sale Price	Other Sales 28.5% of Sale Price	Equipment charges paid to purchasers in error. Repay to owners	Amount of Claims for Boat Gear Declared & Not Found & Recorded Now Missing	45% of amount in next preceding column	
		150.		42.75				42.75

NETS					
Total award for Nets plus Sale Price	Total Claim for Nets Sold, Declared Not Found and Recorded Now Missing	Percentage Total Award to Total Claim	Claim for Nets Sold Declared Not Found, & Recorded Now Missing	Apply % ratio to Claim	Deduct Custodian Sale Price

MISCELLANEOUS CHATTELS							
Claim for goods Sold By Auction	Sale Price of Goods Sold By Auction	Rebates of charges 30% of Sale Price	Ratio in % of Sale Price to Claim	Claim for goods Declared Not Found, Recorded Now Missing, & Sold Not Paid	Application of % ratio to amount in next preceding column	Sale Price of goods Sold by Tender	12% of Sale Price

TOTAL RECOMMENDATION	42.75

CASE NO: 644.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

Lethbridge, Alberta,

September 8th, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

MASAO ARIZONO.

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING.

Original.

CASE NO: 644.

IN THE MATTER OF THE "INQUIRIES ACT"

PART 1, REVISED STATUTES OF CANADA 1927, CHAPTER 99.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

B E F O R E

(HIS HONOUR JUDGE L.H . STACK, SUB-COMMISSIONER).

10 Lethbridge, Alberta,

September 8th, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

MASAO ARIZONO.

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING.

20 APPEARANCES:

G.E.A. RICE, Esq., K.C.,	appearing for the Dominion Government.
A.G. VIRTUE, Esq., K.C.,	appearing for the Claimant.

MISS LILLIE THOMAS,	Secretary.
D.J. HANDFORD, Esq.,	Official Interpreter.
S.R. HOWARD, Esq.,	Official Reporter.

30

THE SECRETARY: Case No. 644, Masao Arizono.

MASAO ARIZONO, the claimant herein, being first duly sworn, testified through the Interpreter as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. VIRTUE:

Q I show you a form that is a summary of evidence in support of claim covering a fishing vessel and covering your personal property (indicating). Is that your signature on that form?

10 A Yes.

Q Was that form prepared in my office by you with the assistance of an Interpreter?

A Yes.

Q Was it carefully prepared?

A Yes.

Q Are the values given of your boat and your personal property fair values?

A Yes.

Q Would you say that the whole thing was a true

20 statement? A: Yes.

MR. VIRTUE: I will offer that as an exhibit.

(SUMMARY MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 1).

MR. VIRTUE: Q: Now with regard to the boat, where did you leave that boat?

A The Naas River, Mall Bay Cannery.

Q It was a fishing boat, was it?

A Yes.

Q And when you left it what sort of condition was it in? A: It was in good

30 condition; it was in the boathouse.

Q It was in good condition?

A Yes.

Q And did you leave it because of the evacuation?

A Yes.

Q All right, thank you.

MR. RICE: I am submitting, your Honour, that the fishing vessel sold for its fair market value. I am submitting that the other articles claimed for by the claimant for which the Custodian is in any
10 way responsible for were sold for their fair market value, and the articles that the Custodian is responsible for and those that have been lost or stolen, the claim for the same is excessive.

I wish to submit, your Honour, a memorandum respecting the personal chattels and the fishing boat.

(MEMORANDUM MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 2).

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RICE:

20 Q In this form that you have submitted, the summary of evidence, Exhibit 1, you swear to God that the value of that boat is $500.00?

A Yes.

Q Did you not agree to sell the boat for $325.00, and get $100.00 on account?

A There was some talk of selling it for $325.00 but it was all cancelled.

Q You agreed to sell it for $325.00, did you not? or your agent did for you?

30 MR. VIRTUE: Well now, there is a question that should

not be put.

MR. RICE: He is under cross-examination, Mr. Virtue.

MR. VIRTUE: Particularly to a witness of this kind.

MR. RICE: You don't have to come to his rescue in every case.

MR. VIRTUE: Well, my learned friend says did you not agree to sell this boat for $325.00 or your agent did for you.

MR. RICE: Yes, or his agent.

10 MR. VIRTUE: Surely even to a literate witness who understands English that would be a difficult question.

MR. RICE: He has an Interpreter here.

MR. VIRTUE: I think my learned friend should split that question and make it clear to him what he is asking. If it was his agent that agreed, that is one thing, and if he did it himself that is another.

MR. RICE: If his agent did it, it is the same thing as

20 if he did it himself.

MR. VIRTUE: Oh yes, in law, but not bringing it out in cross-examination.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: This witness will be able to clear it up as he goes along.

MR. RICE: Certainly.

A There were arrangements talked about but they were cancelled.

Q Did you get $100.00 on account of the sale of your boat?

30 A No, I did not.

Q Or did your agent? A: No.

Q Did you ever authorize your boat to be sold to the Canadian Fishing Company Limited?

A No, that arrangement was cancelled so that I didn't give my permission.

Q Well what was the arrangement?

A There were some arrangements to sell but then the war came along and the arrangements were all cancelled.

10 Q Well then, the arrangements to sell were for $325.00, is that right?

A Yes, at the time the arrangements were talked about it was for $325.00.

Q Why is it that you have raised your price now to $500.00 if you were willing at one time to sell it for $325.00? Why are you asking in your claim for $500.00?

A At the time of the price of $325.00 being or at the time that it was under discussion, the person

20 to whom I was intending to sell it was a personal friend of mine and the price was specially low.

Q I show you a form completed respecting your boat, I take it (indicating). Will you look at it and see ifyou know it or whether you have seen it before or not? Read the name of the boat.

A "Hinata No. 2".

Q That was your boat, was it?

A Yes.

Q Well the particulars in that form, you had them

30 filled in, did you, the registration of your boat?

A No, I didn't have anything to do with it.

Q You had nothing to do with that form?

A I didn't fill it in.

Q Well did you have someone fill it in for you?

A No, I was interned so that there was no time for me to do anything.

Q Have you ever seen that form before?

A No, I have never seen it before.

Q Never seen it before?

10 A No.

Q Well is your boat registered?

A Yes.

Q And isn't that the same form as you used when you had your boat registered?

A No, it is different.

Q It is different from that?

A Yes.

Q And you have never seen that form before and you know nothing about it?

20 A No.

MR. RICE: I would just like to have the form marked for identification. It is a registration form of his boat, the "Hinata No. 2".

Q That is your boat?

A Yes.

MR. RICE: And this form gives particulars of the boat, the dimensions, the year it was built, etc.

MR. VIRTUE: I have no objection to it being marked for identification.

30 MR. RICE: He has disclaimed all knowledge of it and

that is all I can do.

(FORM MARKED EXHIBIT "A" FOR IDENTIFICATION).

MR. RICE: I would like to tender as an exhibit, your Honour, the appraisal on this boat made by John Gould, on October 5th, 1942, and the valuation as given is $120.00 and the estimated market value $150.00.

(SURVEY REPORT MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 3).

MR. VIRTUE: Well that will go in, I take it, subject to
10 the same objection made before that it is not evidence at this stage. Later on it might be evidence.

MR. RICE: Q: Were you ever consulted by the Commandant of the Angler Internment Camp at Angler, Ontario, that is in regard to the boat?

A No.

Q The commander or no officer of that camp ever spoke to you at any time about your boat?

A No, there was no talk from anyone at the camp, but
20 I did receive a letter from the Custodian telling me to give some information.

Q Did you give any information?

A No, I made no answer.

Q Well, the Custodian received information from the Commander of that camp and it reads as follows --

MR. VIRTUE: -- Oh well, I object to this.

MR. RICE: I am going to ask him if he made the statement.

A No, I made no answer.

MR. VIRTUE: It is not even secondhand evidence.

30 MR. RICE: If he admits it, it is certainly evidence.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: I think it should be brought to his attention and let him answer whether or not he has any knowledge of it.

MR. VIRTUE: Pardon, sir?

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: I think it should be brought to his attention and he should be asked if he knows anything about it.

MR. VIRTUE: He says that he was not interviewed about it.

MR. RICE: I am going to read it over and ask him if it refreshes his mind. It is a letter written by the Commander of the camp and it has a statement of what the claimant said to him.

MR. VIRTUE: I object to that entirely.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: I think you might read it and ask him if he has any recollection of such an interview there.

MR. RICE: "In connection with your inquiry of November 6th, 1943, I hereby wish to state that at the outbreak of war in December, 1941, I was ordered to make a full report in connection with my boat"--

MR. VIRTUE: -- Perhaps you will have it translated later.

MR. RICE: Yes, I will.

"--However I was interned at the Angler Internment Camp for the reason that I am of the Japanese race, consequently my privileges as a Canadian by birth were taken from me completely. Therefore under the circumstances I reserve the information for the inquiry till after the war, when I can take the entire

"matter up with the Canadian Government from the stand which is not limited."

Q I am asking if you ever made that statement to an officer of the Angler Internment Camp? It is a quotation which is supposed to be your statement.

A Yes, I recall that now.

Q And the statement contained in that letter is what you told the Commandant or Commander of the Angler Internment Camp?

10 A I typed this addressed to the Custodian.

Q What I have just read to you, you typed and addressed it to the Custodian?

A The meaning of what is contained in here I typed and addressed to the Custodian.

Q And what did you do with it when you typed it?

A The request from the Custodian was asking particulars as to the size and the length and the colour and other points about the boat, and I replied that I would take this up after the war.

20 MR. RICE: I wish to submit this letter, your Honour, the contents of this quotation that the claimant has admitted.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: Very well.

MR. VIRTUE: Subject to objection.

(LETTER MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 4).

MR. RICE: That is all.

MR. VIRTUE: What was Exhibit No. 2?

THE SECRETARY: The memorandum respecting the fishing boat and personal property.

30 MR. VIRTUE: Was that the one that was put in for

identification?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. VIRTUE: Oh yes, that is the memorandum.

THE SECRETARY: Exhibit "A" was the form of registration of the boat.

MR. VIRTUE: I would like my learned friend to let me have the statement of the sale of this boat which is on the Custodian's file. It is dated about November 7th, 1942. If my learned friend will letme have
10 that form.

MR. RICE: It is just a memorandum.

MR. VIRTUE: I know, but the memorandum filed by my learned friend as Exhibit 2 indicates that this boat was not in existence or something.

MR. RICE: Oh yes, the boat was afterwards found.

MR. VIRTUE: If I could just look at that document in my learned friend's file. This memorandum reads:

> "This boat was towed by the Navy from Naas River and supposed to have been tied at
> 20 Tucks Inlet. Canadian Fishing Company reports that boat is not found. They will buy if same is found."

MR. RICE: That is just a report that the Custodian had. There is no foundation to it.

MR. VIRTUE: That is the report that they had the boat.

MR. RICE: My learned friend has asked that that be put in. It is not evidence as far as the Custodian is concerned. It is just a memorandum that he had
30 on his file.

MR. VIRTUE: I would like to have that filed as an exhibit.

(MEMORANDUM MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 5).

MR. VIRTUE: There is on the master file, if my learned friend has it here, the master file, a statement showing what they sold it for, so that they had the boat all right.

MR. RICE: I haven't seen any statement that they sold it.

MR. VIRTUE: I would like to have my learned friend
10 produce a receipt that is dated November 13th, 1942, showing that they actually sold the boat.

MR. RICE: The boat was sold as the memorandum shows it for $325.00, and he sold it himself, and $100.00 was paid and the boat was not delivered and they had to pay back the $225.00, and that is all that that is.

MR. VIRTUE: My learned friend must be referring to some other memorandum, your Honour, than the one he has filed, because the one that he has filed,
20 and I have a copy of it, and it reads: "On claim file are papers dealing with a vessel in which claimant is believed to have had an interest. The value placed on the fishing boat in claim indicates that the vessel for which claim has been made is not the one concerned in these papers."

Now I want to show that they actually took possession or took this vessel which he is claiming, and it was sold, and I produce from my learned friend's
30 file a receipt of November 13th, 1942, which

speaks for itself, and I am putting that in. It is for $225.00, and dated November 13th, 1942, and it reads: "Received from the Japanese Fishing Vessels Disposal Committee the sum of $225.00 on account of sale of vessel 'Hinata No. 2' - refund of purchase price account vessel total loss."

And it is signed by Buisenberry or something.

MR. RICE: Your Honour, the boat was sold by the claimant for $325.00.

MR. VIRTUE: Please don't make that statement, that it was sold by the claimant; he has sworn that it was not.

MR. RICE: He says it was sold by himself and he says that the negotiations were not completed.

MR. VIRTUE: He said they talked about sale.

MR. RICE: They talked about sale and he made a sale to the Canadian Fishing Company Limited for $325.00, $100.00 was paid on account and the balance of $225.00 was paid to the Japanese Fishing Vessels Disposal Committee and they couldn't get title, they couldn't find the boat, and so they could not deliver it. They wrote the claimant here and he refused to tell them where the boat was or give them any information and finally they turned this money back to the Canadian Fishing Company Limited, this $225.00, and that is the receipt there that my learned friend has just asked to be filed. That is how that happened. And when the boat was found, it was in such a condition that it was

practically unsaleable.

MR. VIRTUE: My learned friend is making that statement and there is not a scrap of evidence to support it.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: I presume that is part of his defence which he is going to verify when his defence will be finally completed in Vancouver. There will be a defence to this there.

MR. VIRTUE: If the statements are put in that way as explanations of his position, that is one thing but not as evidence.

MR. RICE: Certainly.

(RECEIPT MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 6).

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: Is there any record of what happened to the other goods referred to in your claim?

MR. RICE: I beg your pardon, your Honour.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: Is there any record of what happened to the other goods referred to in the claim? There is a claim for nets and a claim for personal effects.

MR. RICE: Well, the stand that the Custodian will take is that the claimant refused to declare his goods, and that the Custodian has no record of them and that the Custodian is not responsible for any loss. He was invited to tell the Custodian where his boat was so that his property would be protected. He refused to do it but said that he would talk it over with the Canadian Government after the war was over.

MR. VIRTUE: This claimant's position may have been wrong,

but perhaps not so wrong. The position he took was, "I am a Canadian citizen, and I have been interned", and when he was placed in that position he said, "I am going to wait until I am a free man before I make any claim". I am not so sure but some other Canadians would have done that.

MR. RICE: Well, done at their peril, too.

MR. VIRTUE: That is all, thank you.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: There is just one other question,

10 MR. VIRTUE: Oh, pardon me.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: He is claiming for two nets which were each two years old, and the price paid for them was $200.00 for one, and $300.00 for the other, and after using them for two years he still claims the same cost price for them. Would they not suffer some depreciation?

MR. RICE: The goods were not declared, your Honour. He cannot file a claim to them now.

MR. VIRTUE: I will just ask him about that, sir.

20 Q You claim for two nets: One was a spring 9½ net and the other a cohoe 7½net, each 1200 fathoms?

A Yes.

Q Now apparently you used them two years, each of them two years. Now what his Honour wants to know is this: If they only cost you $200.00 and $300.00 respectively, do you want to correct that? Take the first one. Did it cost you $200.00?

A No.

Q What did it cost you?

30 A $250.00.

Q It cost you $250.00?

A Yes, and the other one cost $350.00.

Q $350.00, it cost?

A Yes.

Q So that you want to correct your statement in that way that the cost of the first one was $250.00 and the cost of the second one was $350.00?

A Yes.

10 Q And you took off $50.00 for depreciation?

A Yes.

Q I am glad you called that to my attention, your Honour.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: I wonder why he swore to that as true and correct in the first place.

MR. VIRTUE: I am afraid, your Honour, that is a clerical error.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: I am afraid he has made a lot of errors like that.

20 MR. VIRTUE: I am glad that you called that to my attention, sir.

(Witness aside)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED SINE DIE)

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein.

"S.R. HOWARD": Official Reporter.

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript purports to be an accurate record of the evidence adduced before me.

SUB-COMMISSIONER.

30

XI/947

ACKNOWLEDGED
By Case No. 644

Proof of Claim

NOV 27 1947

Leth

IN THE MATTER OF Order-in-Council P.C. 1810, as amended by Order-in-Council P.C. 3737; and

IN THE MATTER OF "THE INQUIRIES ACT" being Chapter 99 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1927, and

IN THE MATTER OF a Commission appointed to inquire into and report upon the claims of persons of the Japanese Race under said Orders-in-Council.

---

1. Name of Claimant in full: Masao Arizono

   Registration No. 03318

2. Claimant's address at the time of his evacuation from the protected area:

   355 powell St. Vancouver, B.C.

3. Claimant's present address:

   c/o Julius Kundrik Coalhurst Alta

4. Claim relating to real property:

   (a) Street address of real property:

   (b) Legal description of property:

(c) Type of real property, i.e., farm, residence, commercial, etc., and short description of it:

(d) Title or interest held by Claimant in the real property:

(e) Fair market value of real property at date of sale:

(I) Land— $

(II) Buildings— $

(f) Amount of loss alleged to have been sustained by the Claimant under the terms of reference:

$

5. Claims relating to personal property, etc.

(a) Location at which property was left by Claimant at date of evacuation:

Nass River, B.C.

(b) Type of premises in which property was left by Claimant and manner in which that property was stored or packed at time of evacuation:

Stored at the Mallbay Cannery, B.C.

(c) In whose care was property left by the Claimant at date of evacuation?

In the care of the Custodian.

(d) Itemized and detailed description of the property which is the subject of the claim, and itemized value thereof at the time of sale, loss or destruction:

Fishing Implements:	
Fishing boat	$ 500.00
Spring Net	200.00
Cohoe Net	300.00
Adjuction goods	200.00
	$1200.00

(e) Amount of loss alleged to have been sustained by Claimant under the terms of reference:

$ 1200.00

6. I desire that my claim be heard at the City of Lethbridge in the Province of Alberta.

7. An Interpreter will ..... be required.

DATED this 13th day of ~~October~~ Nov. 1947, A.D. 1947.

H. Miyagawa
Witness to Signature of Claimant.

M. Arizono
Signature of Claimant.

VIRTUE & RUSSELL, Barristers, Lethbridge.

STATUTORY DECLARATION

I, Masao Arizono
(Full Name of Claimant)

of Coalhurst, Alta. Beet Worker
(Present Address) *(Occupation)*

DO SOLEMNLY DECLARE:

1. I am the above named Claimant.

2. I have a personal and full knowledge of the circumstances and facts relating to the Claim above referred to.

3. The above Claim is true and correct.

4. I have not received any payment upon the above Claim other than the following:

None

And I make this declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and knowing it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath, and by virtue of The Canada Evidence Act.

DECLARED at Picture Butte

in the Province of Alberta,

this 13th day of November

A.D. 1947.

M. Arizono

Roscoe D. Webb

A Commissioner for Oaths in and for the Province of Alberta.

VIRTUE & RUSSELL, Barristers, Lethbridge.

IN THE MATTER OF Order-in-Council P.C. 1810, as amended by Order-in-Council P.C. 3737; and

IN THE MATTER OF "THE INQUIRIES ACT" being Chapted 99 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1927, and

IN THE MATTER OF a Commission appointed to inquire into and report upon the claims of persons of the Japanese Race under said Orders-in-Council.

Proof of Claim

VIRTUE & RUSSELL
Barristers & Solicitors,
Lethbridge, Alberta.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

Claim No. 5

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF CLAIM

The Following are Particulars of the Claim:

1. Claimant's Name In Full: Masao ARIZONO

EXHIBIT No. 644-1
DATE Sept. 8/48
FILLED BY G. G. Virtue

2. Registration Number: 03318

3. Present Address: c/o Julius Kundrik, COALHURST, Alberta.

4. Address Prior To Evacuation: 355 Powell Street, Vancouver, B.C.

FISHING VESSEL

NAME HINATA NO. 2

Reg. No.

TYPE Motor Boat

Date Purchased 1940

CONDITION WHEN PURCHASED used

PRICE PAID $500.00

Maintenance Work.....$150.00

IMPROVEMENTS SINCE DATE OF PURCHASE.....nil

Estimated Value at Time of Sale By Custodian.....$500.00

AMOUNT RECEIVED FROM CUSTODIAN..... nil

Loss Claimed $500.00

NETS

	TYPE	SIZE	MESH	FATHOMS	SEASONS USED	PRICE PAID	ESTIMATED VALUE AT TIME OF EVACUATION
1.	Spring	9½		1200'	2 years	$200.00	$200.00
2.	Cohoe	7½		1200'	2 years	$300.00	$300.00

TOTAL VALUE $500.00

RECEIVED FROM CUSTODIAN..... nil

LOSS CLAIMED.....$500.00

PERSONAL PROPERTY: ADJUNCTION GOODS ($200.00 on Proof of Claim)

ITEM	YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE PAID	VALUE	REMARKS
Coal stoves and pipes		$15.00	$12.00	
2 pr. oars		8.00	5.00	
gasoline tank		15.00	10.00	
needle compass		15.00	12.00	
gasoline stove		12.00	10.00	
rain gear		15.00	10.00	
~~xxxxxxxxx~~ table ware		10.00	8.00	
anchor		8.00	5.00	
watertank		10.00	8.00	
water pump		6.00	5.00	
small boat 8' long		50.00	45.00	
2 batteries		20.00	15.00	
3 oil cans		5.00	3.00	
5 lanterns		7.50	5.00	
lamp		1.50	1.00	
net roller		6.00	4.00	
rope 20 yds.		10.00	8.00	
wrenches and hammer		4.00	3.00	
screwdriver and pliers		3.50	3.00	
funnel		1.50	1.00	
canvass		5.00	4.00	
mattress		5.00	4.00	
paint brushes		4.00	3.50	
field glasses		10.00	8.00	
net cover		8.00	7.50	
			$200.00	

(ADD ADDITIONAL PAGE IF NECESSARY)

(IF CLAIM FOR VESSEL DESCRIBE ON SEPARATE SHEET NUMBERED 6 A)

PAYMENTS RECEIVED FROM CUSTODIAN ON PERSONAL PROPERTY

Item:	Year:	Month:	Amount.
			nil
		Total:	$ nil

Total Claim for Personal Property (Adjunction goods only) ..... $ 200.00

Deduct Payments from Custodian ..... $ nil

Net Loss on Personal Property ..... $ 200.00

I Certify the above to be True and Correct.

Chas. H. Veil
Witness

M. Arizono
Signature of Claimant.

EXHIBIT No. 644 - 2
DATE Sept. 8/48
FILED BY G.E.A. Rice

MEMORANDUM June 3, 1948.

Name: ARIZONO, Masao Reg. No. 03318 File: XI.747

Subject of Claim:

1. Fishing Boat	$500.00
2. Spring Net	200.00
3. Cohoe Net	300.00
4. Adjuction Goods	200.00
	$1200.00

.....

At no time did Mr. Masao Arizono supply the Custodian with information regarding the property listed in claim. See statement signed by claimant following his internment and letter of November 10, 1943, from Angler Internment Camp.

On claim file are papers dealing with a vessel in which claimant is believed to have had an interest. The value placed on the fishing boat in claim indicates that the vessel for which claim has been made is not the one concerned in these papers. Claimant has not identified the vessel for which he is claiming.

The Custodian has no record of the nets and adjuction goods listed in claim.

/FMA

"F. Matheson"

I hereby certify that the foregoing words are a true copy of the original whereof they purport to be a copy.
Date: October 14, 1948.

E. G. Rice

Please refer to "Certificate" of British Registry" in filling this blank; special attention is required in cases of "Length" "Width" "Depth"

NAME OF VESSEL	HINATA #2	Official Number PR 1211	Naval Control Number
Port of Registry	Prince Rupert, B. C.	Date of Registry	
Gross Tonnage		Net Tonnage	
Length 30'		Width 7½'	Depth 3'
Make of Engine (Present) Vivian Horse Power 6 H.P.		Date When Re-installed 10 yrs. Knot (Speed) 6 knots	
When Hull Built	10 years	When Hull Rebuilt	
Type of Vessel: Seiner Packer		Gill-Netter X Troller Trawler Other	
Formerly Used as Gill-netter Date When Surveyed Last: Place of Operation: Naas River		Value (Replacement) Value (present) $350.00 Value (Insured)	
Charter Rate: 1940 Own use 1941 " "		Chartered to: 1940 1941	
Amount of Mortgage, if any $100.00		Mortgaged to: Canadian Fishing Co. Ltd.	
Registered Owner's Name Address	Masao Arizono 355 Powell St., Vancouver	Actual Owner's Name Address	Masao Arizono 355 Powell St., Vancouver, B. C.

REMARKS: Boat at Prince Rupert, B. C. (DEAL NEARLY COMPLETE)

I certify that the foregoing words are a true copy of the original whereof they purport to be a copy.
Date: October 14, 1948.

E. La Casse

for identification
644 - "A"
EXHIBIT No.
DATE Sept 8/48
FILED BY G.E.A. Rice

JOHN GOULD
Marine Surveyor and Appraiser
Vancouver, B. C.

Cables:
"Gould" Vancouver, B. C.

Telephone:
Pacific 9046

No.5.P.R.
"MA"

EXHIBIT No. 644 - 3
DATE Sept. 8/48
FILED BY G.E.A. Rice

SURVEY REPORT

To whom it may concern:

Official No.	M A 691.	Type	Gillnet.
Owner			
Built by			
Age			
Dimensions	L. 28 ft. B. 7 ft. D. 2.7 ft.		
Tonnage	Gross: 4.		
Material	Wood.		
Masts	None.		
Superstructure	Trunk cabin.		
Engines	Gasoline.	Aux.	None.
Name & H.P.	Vivian. 7 H.P.		
Built & Installed			
Fuel, No. of Tanks	Gasoline. 1.		
Location	Stbd. side engine space.		
Material & Capacity	Galvanized Iton. 50 gallons.		
Filled & Vented	On deck.		
Carburetors	Schebler.		
Tailshaft	$1\frac{1}{4}$" brass in tube.		
Propeller	3 Bladed brass, protected by Skeg.		
Pumps	1 on engine 1 on deck.		
Fuel line to engine	Copper.		
Shutoff Valve	On tank.		
Lighting	Oil.		
Batteries	None.		
Wiring	None.		

Anchors	None.		
Chains	None.	Rope	None.
Compass	None.		
Boats	None.		
Tow Winch Bitts	None.		
Exhaust Location	Out through Port side.		
Clear of Woodwork	Yes, water cooled.		
Stoves & Heaters	None.		
E R Ventilation	Skylight & Companionway.		
Fire Extinguishers	None.		
Fire Hose	None.		
Sea Connections	1 flexible brass.		
Valuation	One Hundred twenty ($120.00) dollars.		
Estimated Market Value	One Hundred fifty ($150.00) dollars.		

Vancouver, B. C.

October 5, 1942.

"John Gould"

Marine Surveyor.

I hereby certify that the foregoing words are a true copy of the original whereof they purport to be a copy.
Date: October 14, 1948.

N. E. LaCasse

DATED October 5, 1942.

" M A "

SURVEY REPORT

No. 5.P.R.

Custodian of Japanese
Fishing Vessels.

Vancouver, B. C.

JOHN GOULD
Marine and Cargo Surveyor
Vancouver, B. C.

Quote: 101-P- 8

644 - 4

EXHIBIT No.

DATE Sept. 8/48

FILED BY G.E. A. Rice

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE
-Army-

Internment Camp,
ANGLER, Ontario
November 10th 1943.

Authorized Deputy Custodian,
912 Royal Bank Building,
VANCOUVER, B. C.

Re: P/W & ARIZONO Masao

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter file

No. 747 dated November 6th 1943 regarding m/n.

The Internee states:

"In connection with your enquiry of Nov. 6th 1943 I hereby wish to state that at the outbreak of War in December 1941 I was ordered to make a full report in connection with my boat, however I was interned at the Angler Internment Camp for the reason that I am of the Japanese Race, consequently my privileges as a Canadian by birth were taken from me completely. Therefore under the circumstances I reserve the information for the enquiry until after the war, when I can take the entire matter up with the Canadian Government from the stand which is not limited"

Adjutant's Note: The Internee had answered all your questions in writing and signed same but evidently under outside influence he crossed everything out and substituted the above.

"Signature illegible" Capt.
for

(Geo. C. Machum) Lieut. Colonel,
Commandant,
ANGLER Internment Camp,
Veterans Guard of Canada.

Copy to D.P.O.W,

I hereby certify that the foregoing words are a true copy of the original whereof they purport to be a copy.
Date: October 14, 1948.

A. E. LeBasse

2M-3-42.

TELEPHONE PACIFIC 9331

JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

FROM K. Kimura

1528 MARINE BUILDING
VANCOUVER, B.C.

TO Mr. A. McMaster.

April 2nd, 1942.

Re "HINATA 2" , Owner Masao Arizono

This boat was towed by the Navy from Naas River and suppose to have been tied at Tucks Inlet. Canadian Fishing Company reports that boat is not found. They will buy if same is found. Kindly trace.

EXHIBIT No. 644-5
DATE Sept. 8/48
FILLED BY A. G. Virtue

2M-3-42.

JAPA[illegible]SE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL [illegible]MMITTEE
VANCOUVER, B.C.

Nov. 13 1942.

NOV 14 1942
RECEIVED

414

$ 225 00/100

RECEIVED *from the* JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE

the sum of Two hundred and Twenty-five — 00/100 DOLLARS

on account of sale of Vessel "Hinata #2" — refund of Purchase price acct vessel total loss.

Ck# 984-11/13
Folio 414

EXHIBIT No. 644-6
DATE Sept. 8/48
FILED BY G. G. Virtue

T. Eisenberg

EXHIBIT No. 644-6
DATE Sept. 8/48
FILED BY G. G. Virtue

Vancouver B.C.
January 12th 1950.

D.T.Braidwood, Esq.,
506 Royal Bank Building,
Vancouver, B.C.

Dear Sir, Re Claim #644 - Masao ARIZONO

Mr.Virtue has sent me a memorandum in regard to the above claim, in which he misinterprets my views in his paragraph lettered (b). I believe that I stated when this claim was last spoken to by Counsel that in my judgment any loss which may have been suffered by the claimant was attributable solely to his refusal to furnish information regarding the property, the subject of the claim. In these circumstances I rejected the claim.

Yours truly,

HIB/W.

VIRTUE, RUSSELL & MORGAN

BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS
AND NOTARIES PUBLIC

McFARLAND BUILDING, OPPOSITE COURT HOUSE LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA

A. GLADSTONE VIRTUE, M.C.K.C.
WILLIAM STAFFORD RUSSELL, B.A., LL.B.
FREDERICK JOHN MORGAN, B.A., LL.B.

10th January, 1950

PLEASE REFER TO FILE NO. 3212 - 7

D. T. BRAIDWOOD, ESQ.,
c/o Messrs. Sutton, Braidwood & Morris,
Barristers and Solicitors,
Royal Bank Building,
675 West Hastings Street,
VANCOUVER, B.C.

Dear Mr. Braidwood: RE: ARIZONO (Mazao)
Case No. 644
Custodian File XI/747

We return the yellow sheet, and are happy to approve of it as far as it goes.

However, we point out, -

(a) in the writer's discussion of this case with Mr. Campbell, representing the Crown, Mr. Campbell felt that he could not deal with the matter personally, but on the other hand he was prepared to recommend to the Commissioner an allowance under the heading "Nets" of one-quarter of the value new, or a total of $150.00, and under the heading "Miscellaneous Chattels (Boat Equipment)" he was prepared to recommend 40% of the claim or $80.00.

(b) since Mr. Campbell did not feel able to agree on a settlement personally, the matter went before the Commissioner. The Commissioner did not feel prepared to make an award, but under the particular circumstances of the case he said he would make a special report to Ottawa. My impression was that the Commissioner felt that the Minister of Justice might feel like making an award.

We are sending an additional copy of this letter to the Secretary of the Japanese Property Claims Commission for the information of the Commissioner when he is making his final report on this case.

D. T. BRAIDWOOD, ESQ.,
Vancouver, B.C.

10th January, 1950

RE: Case No. 644,
Custodian File XI/747
ARIZONO (Mazao)

Our feeling is that this young Canadian should not be penalized for taking an attitude which any one of us white Canadians would certainly have taken.

Yours sincerely,

VIRTUE, RUSSELL & MORGAN,

Per

V/L
encl.

Copy to Secretary of the Japanese Property Claims Commission for his information.