Name of Claimant MURAKAMI, Yoshio Case 1281

Custodian File 14587

REAL PROPERTY											Total
Greater Vancouver		Rural (except V.L.A.)			V.L.A. (except Mission Village)			V.L.A. Mission Village			
Sale Price	5% thereof & 12.50	Sale Price	10% there-of	Charges 12.50 & Comm.	Sale Price	Total Award 80% of all Sale Prices		Sale Price	Total Award 125% of all Sale Prices:		
						% of Total	Amount		% of Total	Amount	

PERSONAL PROPERTY								
Motor Vehicles		Boats and Boat Gear						
Sale Price	25% thereof	Sale Price	Nelson Bros. 23.5% of Sale Price	Other Sales 28.5% of Sale Price	Equipment charges paid to purchasers in error. Repay to owners	Amount of Claims for Boat Gear Declared & Not Found & Recorded Now Missing	45% of amount in next preceding column	

NETS						
Total award for Nets plus Sale Price	Total Claim for Nets Sold, Declared Not Found and Recorded Now Missing	Percentage Total Award to Total Claim	Claim for Nets Sold Declared Not Found, & Recorded Now Missing	Apply % ratio to Claim	Deduct Custodian Sale Price	

MISCELLANEOUS CHATTELS								
Claim for goods Sold By Auction	Sale Price of Goods Sold By Auction	Rebates of charges 30% of Sale Price	Ratio in % of Sale Price to Claim	Claim for goods Declared Not Found, Recorded Now Missing, & Sold Not Paid	Application of % ratio to amount in next preceding column	Sale Price of goods Sold by Tender	12% of Sale Price	
			46%	71.00	32.66			32.66

TOTAL RECOMMENDATION	32.66

IN THE MATTER OF THE "INQUIRIES ACT"

PART 1, REVISED STATUTES OF CANADA 1927, CHAPTER 99.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

B E F O R E

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE H. I. BIRD - COMMISSIONER.

Vancouver, B.C.,

April 22nd, 1949.

CASE NO: 1281

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

YOSHIO MURAKAMI

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING

APPEARANCES:

D. BRAIDWOOD, Esq., and J. A. MACDONALD, Esq., appearing for the Dominion Government;

R. J. McMASTER, Esq., and A. E. COBUS, Esq., appearing for the Claimant.

A. W. Watson, Esq., Secretary,

T. P. Horrobin, Esq., Official Reporter.

MR. McMASTER: My lord, there are two cases that Mr. Watson has drawn my attention to which were heard in Toronto, both of them relatively small claims, where the claimants resided some distance from Toronto and had small claims and didn't appear on the hearing, and counsel have forwarded to the Secretary affidavits in both cases, relating to real property.

I might deal with case No. 1281 first.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Is Mr. Braidwood familiar with the facts of these cases?

MR. BRAIDWOOD: I am not, my lord. This is the first I have heard of them.

MR. McMASTER: The 1281 is a case where the man was claiming for a camera, and I think the Crown counsel admitted on the hearing that the camera had been received and had been lost, and had been appraised at $30.00, and the document which I would ask to file on behalf of the claimant is a

20 copy of the personal chattels claim, which is attested to by the claimant before a Notary Public in the province of Ontario.

The Secretary already has that, my lord, and I would ask that it be marked.

THE COMMISSIONER: Have you any objection, Mr. Braidwood?

MR. BRAIDWOOD: I haven't seen these documents.

THE COMMISSIONER: No, I appreciate that, but the affidavit, as stated by your friend, seemed to indicate the justice of such a course being adopted.

30 MR. BRAIDWOOD: Yes. The matter is a small claim, in

any event.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. I will direct the affidavit be received and marked as an exhibit in that claim. I think Ihave had some correspondence in connection with it, have I not?

MR. McMASTER: Yes. I think, as a matter of fact, my lord, when Mr. Brewin was out here, at that time he applied for leave to file the claim late, the Custodian having advised him that was the proper procedure.

He had written in 1945 to the Custodian about the camera, and had been advised it had been lost, and had written several times subsequently, and was given that advice.

THE COMMISSIONER: I have some recollection of it.

(AFFIDAVIT MARKED EXHIBIT NO. 1281-1)

(Concluded)

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate report of the said proceedings.

J. P. Harrolin

Deputy Official Stenographer

CASE NO: 1281

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

Toronto, Ontario,

December 2, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

YOSHIO MURAKAMI

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING

Original.

IN THE MATTER OF THE "INQUIRIES ACT"

PART 1, REVISED STATUTES OF CANADA 1927, CHAPTER 99.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

B E F O R E

HIS HONOUR, JUDGE J.A. McGIBBON, SUB-COMMISSIONER

Toronto, Ontario,

December 2, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

YOSHIO MURAKAMI

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING

APPEARANCES:

K.A. CHRISTIE, ESQ., K.C.,	appearing for the Dominion Government,
F.A. BREWIN, ESQ.,	appearing for the Claimant.

A. SMITH, ESQ.,	Secretary,
D.J. HANDFORD, ESQ.,	Official Interpreter,
A.G. VETTCH, ESQ.,	Official Reporter.

MR. BREWIN: I would interpret your honour's ruling as meaning that if the claimant did not wish to appear he could not recover anything in dispute.

Government counsel have admitted this camera was lost by the Custodian and has admitted that the camera was valued by the Custodian at $30. The claimant, himself, said it was worth $75. There was naturally a claim for the whole amount of $75., but the dispute would be about the $45. I wrote to the man and explained what had happened and said Now, this is what the Commission has held - and I asked him to swear his claim before a Commissioner which he has done. I just propose to send it out to Vancouver, and I suppose the Commissioner might see fit to allow the man the amount the government acknowledge the camera was worth, in any event.

MR. CHRISTIE: We admit we got the camera. We admit it is missing from our warehouse and we admit it was appraised for $30. They can deal with it out in British Columbia.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED SINE DIE)

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein.

A.G. Veitch

A.G. Veitch,
Official Reporter.

I, John A. McGibbon, Deputy Commissioner, appointed to hear a Commission to investigate claims of Japanese Canadians for property loss, do certify the foregoing is a true copy of the evidence heard on the within claim.

John A. McGibbon,
Deputy Commissioner.

CASE NO: 1281

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

Toronto, Ontario,

November 22, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

YOSHIO MURAKAMI

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING

Original.

TRANSCRIPTION BY MEMBER

IN THE MATTER OF THE "INQUIRIES ACT"

PART 1, REVISED STATUTES OF CANADA 1927, CHAPTER 99.

JAPANESE PROPERTY CLAIMS COMMISSION

B E F O R E

HIS HONOUR, JUDGE M.A. MILLER, SUB-COMMISSIONER.

Toronto, Ontario,

November 22, 1948.

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

YOSHIO MURAKAMI

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING

APPEARANCES:

K.A. CHRISTIE, ESQ., K.C.,	appearing for the Dominion Government,
R.A. BEST, ESQ.,	appearing for the Claimant.

A. SMITH, ESQ.,	Secretary,
D.J. HANDFORD, ESQ.,	Official Interpreter,
A.G. VEITCH, ESQ.,	Official Reporter.

MR. BEST: Your honour, this case came up for hearing, in the first instance, on November 4, 1948. At that time the claimant did not appear.

The situation is, briefly, this: He lives in Fletcher. That is in the Chatham area, your honour, some 200 miles or more from here. His claim is only for a camera and case which he has valued at $71. I was under the impression that the Custodian had sold this for $35.

MR. CHRISTIE: It has been lost.

MR. BEST: This camera apparently has been lost. The R.C.M.P., of course ---

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: Is there any explanation of his failure to appear on November 4?

MR. BEST: No. I think the answer is just this: This is one of those very smallclaims where the Co-operative Committee were in the hopes that the Commissioner would see fit when there was so little involved to take affidavit evidence. You see, I do not know; I suppose it is entirely for us to speculate how much it would cost the man to come up here. He would certainly have to loose two days pay and pay for his rail fare to Toronto and back.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: In any event, I take it that his failure to attend is not due to any misfortune or anything of that kind?

MR. BEST: No. We informed him to come on November 4 and at that time he was perhaps in hopes ---

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: Did he communicate with you after that?

MR. BEST: Not directly with me, but we did send him another notice and said that the Commissioner was not prepared to take affidavit evidence and that he would have to appear today. He has not appeared and I have not heard from him.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: You say that on the occasion of the 4th he did not communicate directly with you; did he communicate with anybody?

MR. BEST: Not to my knowledge. He did not communicate with anyone here but I think the reason there was that at that time we were still in hopes that the Commission would accept the affidavit evidence in one or two exceptional cases such as this. We made it very plain in our second notice to him that he would have to appear. There is a receipt. It is just a question of valuing that camera. I think the Custodian does not deny that he got the camera at all.

MR. CHRISTIE: No.

MR. BEST: I think Mr.Christie said that their valuation was $30., so that it would mean that his net claim would be $41.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: It sold for $30.?

MR. CHRISTIE: It was appraised at $30.

THE SUB- COMMISSIONER: The Custodian admits a responsibility to the extent of $30. I do not think there is enough involved to bother further with it. He has not, himself, considered that there was enough involved to come here; so, the case is not struck off; the claimant is still free to make such claim as he can establish on the Custodian's admission but it will not be on the list again for hearing in Toronto.

MR. BEST: Thank you very much. I have the receipt here. I do not know whether it would be wise for me to hand it to Mr. Smith, Secretary, or whether we should keep it.

MR. CHRISTIE: I think you should deal directly with the Custodian.

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: If he is represented by counsel I suppose he could put in any evidence he wants to in ---

MR. BEST: I do not wish to ---

THE SUB-COMMISSIONER: But you have on the record that Mr. Christie admits that he got the camera, that it was appraised for $30. I do not know that you can get much more further by putting in a receipt.

MR. BEST: Perhaps not. It may be just as well for us to keep it for the moment. Thank you very much.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED SINE DIE)

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein.

A.G. Veitch.

A.G. Veitch,
Official Reporter.

I, M.A. Miller, Deputy Commissioner, appointed to hear a Commission to investigate claims of Japanese Canadians for property loss, do certify the foregoing is a true copy of the evidence heard on the within claim.

M.A. Miller,
Deputy Commissioner,

Vancouver, B.C.

September 24th, 1948.

RE: MURIKAMI CLAIM

MR. BREWIN: My lord, this is a matter which was spoken to yesterday, a claimof Murikami. There is a claim here. Your lordship dealt with it yesterday, by asking my learned friend for assistance to find out about it. I won't be here on Monday, and I thought we might speak to it now. This is a claim for a camera valued at $71.00 and the circumstances are revealed in a letter from Mr. Murikami to my firm in which he says he surrendered a camera in March 1942. (Reading letter).

MR. HUNTER: Obviously it came into our possession and was immediately stolen, and it is just a question of price.

THE COMMISSIONER: In the circumstances I will allow that claim to be set down in the Toronto sub-commission.

MR. HUNTER: As far as evidence is concerned we concede the fact. It is entirely a question of value. For the purpose of insurance our appraisor valued it at $30.00.

MR. BREWIN: We might have a settlement.

THE COMMISSIONER: Rather than go through the motions in Toronto, if counsel are content, we can deal with it on that basis. The valuation was $30.00 by the appraisor?

MR. BREWIN: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: H ow much is he asking?

MR. BREWIN: $71.00.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well perhaps it will have to go and some evidence will have to be led on the matter. It had better be heard in Toronto.

10

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate report of the said proceedings.

Deputy Official Stenographer

20

30

JUL 22 1949 Called first hrd 4 Called second hrd 22 1458

IN THE MATTER OF a Commission to Investigate Claims of Japanese Canadians for Property Losses.

Did not appear.

TO: The Commissioner,
Office of the Custodian,
Royal Bank Bldg.,
Vancouver, B.C.

Case no 12 A!

Pursuant to the notice issued on the 26th day of September, 1947, I submit the following claim:

(1) NAME Murakami Yoshio (RCMP) Reg. No. 00027
(Print) Surname Given Name

(2) Pre-Evacuation Address 302 West Cordova St., Vancouver, B.C.

(3) Present Address R. R. No. 2, Fletcher, Ontario

(4) REAL ESTATE

(a) Street Address (if any) _____ City or Municipality, _____ Province

(b) Legal description (lot number, block number, section number, etc.) _____

(c) Type of Real Property (cross out words which do not apply):

(i) Farm
(ii) Residence Type of business _____
(iii) Business
(iv) Any other type of property (describe) _____

(d) What was your interest in the property (e.g., sole owner, life tenant, joint tenant, owner of one half or one third interest, leasehold, etc.) _____

(e) Fair market value at date of sale (estimate this to the best of your ability):

(i) Land - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $ _____

(ii) Buildings - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $ _____

(iii) If business, put value on business as going concern (including land and buildings, tenancies, chattels, fixtures, stock-in-trade, goodwill and accounts receivable) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $ _____

(iv) Total value (if you cannot give separate values for lands and buildings just fill in total value) - $ _____

(v) Amount at which Custodian sold property and credited your account - - $ _____

(f) Loss (This figure is arrived at by deducting item (v) from item (iv) - - - $ _____

(5) PERSONAL PROPERTY

(a) Place or places at which property was left by the claimant at date of evacuation _____

(b) Type of premises in which property left (e.g., house, warehouse, garage, shed, church basement, etc.) _____

(c) How stored or packed at time of evacuation _____

(over)

(d) In whose care was property left at date of evacuation by the claimant. (This question refers to the terms of reference which exclude claims where the property was lost, destroyed or stolen while under the custody, control or management of any person other than the Custodian appointed by the owner of the property. It involves some definite arrangement whereby you appointed someone to take care of the property and such person accepted the responsibility of so doing. Unless such an arrangement was made the question should be answered "in no one's care")

I surrendered my camera and case at the Royal Canadian Mounted police in Vancouver B.C.

(e) Itemized description of personal property which is the subject of the claim:

1. Camera (Kodak, No. 1 Kodamatic) Estimated Value $ 67.50
2. Case (leather) Estimated Value $ 3.50
3. Estimated Value $
4. Estimated Value $
5. Estimated Value $
6. Estimated Value $
7. Estimated Value $
8. Estimated Value $
9. Estimated Value $
10. Estimated Value $

TOTAL CLAIM FOR PROPERTY LOSS $ 71.00

N.B.—If you cannot list all the items here prepare a separate list with values set out opposite each item and attach it to the form. The item "personal property" includes shares, bonds, mortgages, loans, notes and all other forms of property not included in real estate.

(f) Total claim including real and personal property (this figure can be arrived at by adding items 4(f) and 5(e) - $ 71.00

(6) (a) Place at which claimant prefers to be heard. (Vancouver, Kamloops, Nelson, Lethbridge, Moose Jaw, Winnipeg, Toronto or Montreal.)

(b) Do you require the services of an interpreter at the hearing? Yes or no

N.B.—This Declaration must be sworn before a Commissioner, Notary Public or other person entitled to take declarations. All lawyers are qualified to do so.

DOMINION OF CANADA)
of)
TO WIT:)

I, Joshio Murakami of the Township of Raleigh in the County of Kent

DO SOLEMNLY DECLARE THAT:

The information set out in the form above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath, and by virtue of 'The Canada Evidence Act".

DECLARED before me at the City of Chatham in the County of Kent this 18 day of July A.D. ~~1947~~ 1948

Y. Murakami

A Commissioner &c.

N.B. — THIS FORM FULLY COMPLETED AND SWORN BEFORE A COMMISSIONER SHOULD BE MAILED BY REGISTERED MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER, c/o THE OFFICE OF THE CUSTODIAN, ROYAL BANK BLDG., VANCOUVER, B.C., BEFORE NOVEMBER 30th, 1947. IF YOU CANNOT MAIL IT BEFORE THIS DATE SET OUT BELOW REASONS FOR THE DELAY.

Sept. 14, 1948

RECEIVED
SEP 16 1948
CAMERON WELDON
& BREWIN

Cameron, Weldon & Brewin
Barristers & Solicitors

Dear Sir

I received your letter for the claim of my camera and case,

I surrendered my camera and case in March 1942, and when we came this Ontario in 1945, I asked to give back my camera and case, but reply from Custodian said my camera and case has been stolen some weeks ago, and the police might recover it, then they would let me know immediately, I trusted and waited for so long and asked two times, if they have found or not, but reply was, not yet, I asked again april 1948, but not find yet, so I writed and asked, how long do I have to wait, or in case there is not any hope for it, then what they will think to do about, but Custodian advised to through the Japanese Property Claims Commission

and told me to file Claim for the loss of the Camera, it may not be too late.

I received this letter of advise dated July 1948, So I did what the Custodian told me to do.

This is the why the delay in filing

Yours truly

Y. Murakami

MURAKAMI, Yoshio 14587
(Claimant's Name)

PERSONAL CHATTELS

00027
Reg. No.

Description of Major Items (and particularly of goods lost, stolen or destroyed)	Approximate Date Purchase	New or Used When Purchased	Price Paid	Condition when Evacuated	Estimated value at Date of Evacuation
Kodak Camera (No. 1 Kodamatic)	1941	New	54.00	Still New	67.50
Leather Case	1941	"	3.50	" "	3.50

Total Claim $ 71.00

Claimant delayed in making claim dut to his efforts to ascertain whether the Custodian still possessed the camera and case. Correspondence between claimant and Custodian was carried on from 1945 to 1948. (Letters - May 21/45, July 12/45, January 22/46, April 13/48, May 10/48) In a letter from the office of the Custodian, dated July 12/48, the Custodian finally advised the claimant to file a claim for the loss of the camera. Claimant was not clear as to the procedure to be followed. All this resulted in the delay in making claim.

EXHIBIT No. 1281-1
DATE apl 22. 1949
FILED BY R. J. McMaster.

Description of Storage of Goods:

Camera and case handed over to R. C. M. P., Vancouver, B. C. on March 2/42. Receipt received and in file. (R. C. M. P. Exhibit Report - claimant also has receipt) The value of $67.50 for the camera is the price for which the camera is sold in 1948. The camera and case were still new.

SUMMARY

Estimated value:	$ 71.00 -
Lost by Custodian	-- -
Claim	$ 71.00

Valuation by Custodian $ 30 -

Camera actually received by Custodian

Camera subsequently lost

General Statement as to Chattels not Described above:

SWORN before me at the City of Chatham in the County of Kent this 30th day of November, A.D.1948.

Marie Gaudin
Notary Public

Yoshio Murakami X
Signature

Additional Comments, if any:

I, Yoshio Murakami, of R. R. No. 2, Fletcher, Ontario, hereby retain the legal counsel of the Japanese Co-operative Committee, Toronto, Ontario, in presenting my claim of $71.00 for camera and case handed to Royal Canadian Mounted Police at Vancouver, B. C. on March 2/42, and subsequently reported lost by the office of the Custodian.
Chatham, Ont.
Sept. 26, 1948. (Signed) Yoshio Murakami

R. ALFRED BEST, B.A.,
BARRISTER, SOLICITOR
NOTARY PUBLIC, ETC.

OFFICE: 15 TORONTO STREET

TORONTO 1, CANADA

TELEPHONE
ELGIN 4583

December 6th, 1948

EVACUATION SECTION
Rec'd DEC 9 1948
File No. 14587
Ans.
Referred
File at Toronto

The Secretary to the Commission,
Japanese Property Loss Commission,
Royal Bank Building,
Vancouver, British Columbia.

Dear Sir: Re: Yoshio Murakami

The above named claimant's claim came up for hearing on November 4th, 1948 before the Toronto Sub-Commission and at that time he did not appear. His case was then adjourned and came up a second time on November 30th, 1948. His Honour Judge McGibbon ruled, at the time, that the case would not be heard before the Commission, but without prejudice to any further action the claimant may desire to take. The Government Counsel, at that time, admitted that the camera, which was the sole basis for this small claim, had come into the custody of the Custodian from the R.C.M.P but had unfortunately been lost. Mr. Christie stated that the Government had valued the camera at $30.00 so that it would appear that the claimant has at least a claim for that amount. However, I enclose herewith his personal chattels claim form sworn to by himself before a Notary Public at Chatham.

Mr. Murakami lives some 200 miles from Toronto and apparently had difficulty in getting away from his employment to make the claim and for this reason we are asking the indulgence of the Commission in submitting the claim in this form.

Yours very truly,

R. A. Best

RAB/P
Encl.

Sept. 14, 1948.

CAMERON, WELDON & BREWIN,
Barristers & Solicitors.

Dear Sir,

I received your letter for the claim of my camera and case.

I surrendered my camera and case in March 1942 and when we came this Ontario in 1945, I asked to give back my camera and case, but reply from Custodian said my camera and case has been stolen some weeks ago, and the Police might recover it, then they would let me know immediately. I trusted and waited for so long and asked two times if they have found or not, but reply was, not yet. I asked again April 1948, but not find yet, so I writed and asked how long do I have to wait, or in case there is not any hope for it, then what they will think to do about, but Custodian advised to through the Japanese Property Claims Commission and told me to file claim for the loss of the camera, it may not be too late.

I received this letter of advise dated July 1948, so I did what the Custodian told me to do.

This is why the delay in filing.

Yours truly,

Y. MURAKAMI

CANADA
DEPARTMENT OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
OFFICE OF THE CUSTODIAN

JAPANESE EVACUATION SECTION

PHONE PACIFIC 6131
PLEASE REFER TO
FILE No. 14587

506 ROYAL BANK BLDG.
HASTINGS AND GRANVILLE
VANCOUVER, B. C.

January 5, 1949

The Secretary to the Commission,
Japanese Property Loss Commission,
Court House,
Vancouver, B. C.

Dear Sir: Re: Yoshio Murakami

On December 10, 1948, you telephoned this office in regard to the camera which is the subject of claim by Mr. Yoshio Murakami and which is dealt with in Mr. R. A. Best's letter to you of December 6,1948.

This is to confirm that our records show that Mr. Yoshio Murakami, of 302 West Cordova Street, Vancouver, B. C., on March 2,1942, surrendered to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police one No. 1 Kodamatic camera and leather case. This camera was appraised for insurance purposes in 1942 by an appraiser from Dunne & Rundle, Ltd. The appraiser's valuation was $30.00.

On July 11, 1944, the Custodian received this camera from the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The camera was missing from Custodian warehouse at 992 Powell Street in April, 1945, and no trace of this camera has been discovered since that time.

We trust this is the information you require.

Yours truly,

F. Matheson

F. Matheson,
Office of the Custodian.

/FM

CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

OFFICE OF THE CUSTODIAN

JAPANESE EVACUATION SECTION

PHONE PACIFIC 6131
PLEASE REFER TO
FILE NO.

506 ROYAL BANK BLDG.
HASTINGS AND GRANVILLE
VANCOUVER, B. C.

December 9th, 1948

Mr. A. Watson,
Secretary,
Japanese Property Claims Commission,
Court House,
Vancouver, B. C.

Murakami Yoshio
Claim No 28 - Vancouver

28

Dear Mr. Watson:

Enclosed please find letter from Mr. R. A. Best, Toronto which speaks for itself, also the chattel summary which he mentions as having sent with his letter.

We have taken a copy of the letter and the chattel summary for the claim file.

Yours very truly,

B. Good
Comptroller

BG:BK
Encls.

October 12th 1948.

F. A. Brewin, Esq.,
Messrs.Cameron, Weldon & Brewin,
Sterling Tower,
Toronto 1.

Dear Sir,

Re claim of Y. MURAKAMI #00027

Will you kindly favor us with two copies of the above claim.

Thanking you,

Yours truly,

A. WATSON

Secretary

AW/W.

Hearing. Sept 22nd

CAMERON.WELDON & BREWIN
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

A.J.P. CAMERON, K.C. ROY WELDON
F. A. BREWIN

CABLE "RUERMAS" TORONTO
TELEPHONE ADEL.4391

STERLING TOWER
TORONTO 1, CANADA

September 16, 1948.

14587

Set down for hearing in Toronto.

Mr. A. Watson,
Secretary,
Japanese Property Claims Commission,
Court House,
Vancouver, B.C.

Re: Y. Murakami

Dear Sir:

In reply to your letter of July 28, 1948 we have written to Mr. Murakami to ask for the reasons for the delay in filing this claim, and have now received the enclosed letter which speaks for itself. We wish to apply on the basis of the information contained in this letter for leave to have this case put on the list of cases to be heard in Toronto notwithstanding the lateness in filing.

We appreciate that it is usually advisable or necessary for such cases to have an affidavit, but as Mr. Murakami is in a remote place in the country where it is hard for him to reach a commissioner and if the facts set out in this letter are correct, as we assume they are, these indicate the reason for delay. We hope that this claim may be dealt with without further affidavit. If necessary we will, of course, file an affidavit and undertake to prove the facts set out at the hearing.

Yours very truly,

CAMERON, WELDON & BREWIN

per: F.A. Brewin.

FAB:HC

July 28th 1948.

F.A.Brewin, Esq.,
Messrs. Cameron, Weldon & Brewin,
Sterling Tower,
Toronto 1.

Dear Mr.Brewin,

We enclose herewith claim by Yoshio Murakami, Reg.No.00027, for $71.00, covering camera and case.

If the claimant can furnish you with a satisfactory explanation as to his delay in filing, it would, we think, be in order for you to apply to the Commissioner for permission to present the claim. However, as the camera in question was surrendered to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police in Vancouver, we do not know whether or not the claim is within the terms of reference.

Please let us hear from you in this connection.

Yours truly,

A. WATSON

Secretary.

Enc.
AW/W.