REPORT OF JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE by A.E. mª master.

Dissallingsion of vessels REPORT Period of most active swime JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE

VANCOUVER, B.C. December 1942

REPORT OF

JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1

No.	Page
l Introduction	untionlor
2 Origin and purpose of the Committee	11.14.21
3 Organization and initial steps	1. ty 3
4 Governing policies adopted by the Committ	66. 4
5 Classification of vessels	6
6 Chronological review of the Committee's operation	s . 7
A - Initial sales period	
B - Period of most active sales	9
C - Period of declining sales and transfer to Custodian	13
D - Final period of operation	14
7 Cost of operation of Committee's offices.	17
8 Analytical summaries of all vessels sold and released	
9 Conclusion	22
PART II	
Schedule	
Analysis of vessels sold to the Fishing industry	23
2 Report on British Admiralty Technical Mission vessels	24
3 Statement of vessels purchased for R. C. Services	25
4 Summary of all vessels sold - total of schedules 1, 2 and	3 27
5 Financial summaries	28
6 Report on loss and damage claims - Naval Service	
7 Photographs	34

REPORT OF THE JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE

1. INTRODUCTION:

with Japan in December 1941, steps were taken by Naval Service to bring into custody all fishing vessels owned by persons of Japanese nationality or Japanese crigin. Over 1300 vessels of the various types were involved and these vessels were located at many places along the entire length of the British Columbia coast.

specific mooring areas, principally in the Fraser River near
New Westminster where approximately 1,000 vessels were moored.
Some 200 vessels were stored in Tuck's Inlet at Prince
Rupert and the remainder at other outports. Vessels were
immobilized when seized or after assembly at moorings, by
removing vital engine parts and certain navigating instruments.

Circumstances attending the mass assembling of vessels at temporary moorings, in one small area in the Fraser River, under the severe weather conditions prevailing in December and January, caused considerable damage to individual units. Both hull and engine damage occurred and a number of small boats sank at their moorings.

This first impounding action contemplated only immobilization, consequently no provision was made for conditioning vessels for sale prior to the forming of the Japanese Fishing Vessels Disposal Committee.

2. ORIGIN AND PURPOSE OF THE COMMITTEE:

The removal of this substantial part of the fishing fleet from active service, when the product was of vital importance to the food supply of the United Nations, prompted the Government to appoint a Committee for the purpose of returning these vessels to active fishing in the hands of fishermen of other than Japanese origin, so that the defence measure of impoundment would not result in a decrease in the production of the fishing industry of British Columbia. Therefore, under the authority of the War Measures Act, and of Order-in-Council, P. C. 268, of January 13th, 1942, this Committee known as the Japanese Fishing Vessels Disposal Committee was created with the following personnel:-

The Honourable Mr. Justice Sidney Smith - Chairman.

Commander B. L. Johnson, D. S. C., R.C.N.R.

Mr. Kishizo Kimura.

The terms of reference recited in Order-in-Council were as follows:

- (1) "To make such arrangements as will make it possible for the present owners of detained vessels to freely negotiate for charters, leases or sales of such vessels as they own to persons other than those of Japanese origin, provided that the Committee approve of such charters, leases, or sales, which approval shall be a prerequisite for the use of such vessel under fishing license.
- (2) "where necessary, to arbitrate disagreements on price between owners and intending purchasers with a view to preventing advantage being taken of duress.
- (3) "To report on claims which may be made on the government for damage to, or deterioration of, the vessels and equipment while under detention.
- (4) "To report on claims which may be made on the government for the returning of the detained vessels from their present storage place to places where they were picked up.
- (5) "By consultation with the Department of Fisheries, to determine which of the detained vessels shall first come under the arrangements to be put back into production.
- (6) "To report on steps that should be taken to dispose of vessels which the present owners are unable to sell, charter, lease or otherwise transfer."

3. ORGANIZATION AND INITIAL STEPS: OF DEPOSOR STEPS WES

The Committee held its first meeting in Vancouver on January 24th, 1942, when Mr. A. E. McMaster was appointed Executive Assistant, and initial procedure determined. A necessary staff immediately was organized, and the Committee's office was opened to the public in the Marine Building on January 28th, 1942.

with the advent of this Committee, it became necessary to reverse completely the immobilization procedure. Vessels had to be reconditioned for active fishing service and placed in position permitting the Committee to promote their sale to eligible fishermen.

Therefore, late in the month of January, in cooperation with the Committee, Naval Service proceeded to carry out the following:

- (1) Raise sunken vessels and place them in local shipyards for overhaul.
- (2) Repair hulls and engines of other vessels as required.
- (3) Provide suitable mooring and assemble vessels thereat in position to facilitate inspection by prospective buyers, and for their conventience provide a ferry service from New Westminster Terminals to the fleet.
- (4) Catalogue vessels by names, numbers and locations at the mooring.

Pending the availability of this fleet for inspection by prospective purchasers, the offices of the
Committee were engaged in assembling the necessary data to
permit of a comprehensive sales campaign, and to set up
practical policies to accomplish the return of vessels to
the Fishing Industry as quickly as possible.

Cherefer except for approval of the terms of sale.

Listing of vessels by Japanese owners was promoted with the very effective help of Mr. K. Kimura. This enabled the Committee to prepare detailed descriptive vessel sheets which were segregated according to type and made available to the public.

The Committee consulted with the principal
Fishing Companies, Fishing Associations, Unions, and the
Chief Supervisor of Fisheries, as to the requirements of the
Industry. The markets were otherwise explored, and the public
was informed through the press, by extensive advertising and
by circular as to the procedure necessary for purchasing
these fishing vessels.

4. GOVERNING POLICIES ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE:

The general policies adopted are outlined as follows:-

- (1) Prospective purchasers were encouraged to negotiate freely with Japanese owners towards a mutually satisfactory purchase agreement. To this end the facilities of the offices of the Committee were extended to them.
- temporary agency and that it was desirable to obtain transfer of title without delay, only outright sales were approved.
- (3) Term payments were permitted providing substantial cash payments were made, and providing deferred amounts were covered by mortgage. Deferred payments however, were the responsibility of the contracting parties, the Committee assuming no responsibility therefor except for approval of the terms of sale.

- (4) In the event of a dispute between parties as to price, the services of the Committee were offered to arbitrate the difference.
- vided through the issuance of permits and, as stated above, by the operation of a regular ferry service from New West-minster Terminals to the Fishing Fleet moorings.
- equipment was claimed, provision was made for inspection by the surveyors of the Committee and Naval Service prior to release of vessel. Where loss or damage was found by surveyors to have occurred while vessel was in the custody of Naval Service, allowance was recommended under certain prescribed principles explained later in this report under section dealing with "Naval Claims", Page 30.
- the Committee, the cash payment involved was paid in to the offices of the Committee, an order on Naval Officer in charge of the fleet for the release of vessel given to the purchaser, and the Registrar of Shipping advised of the transaction. The new owner was then able to submit his Agreement for Sale to the Registrar of Shipping and secure his License or Certificate of Registry.
- transactions in behalf of the Japanese owners, was disbursed to them after deduction of the 1% service charge, survey fees when applicable, and payments to creditors as authorized by the vendors. These Japanese owners liquidated a large amount of their mortgage and other indebtedness in this manner through the Committee.

6. CHRONOLOGICAL REVIEW OF THE COMMITTEE'S OPERATIONS:

For the purpose of this review it has been considered advisable to divide the activities into four periods which we designate as follows:-

- chase of A Initial Sales as man, but achoostedged the
- B Most Active Sales
- C Declining Sales and Transfer to Custodian
 - D Closing Period

January 28 to February 28, 1942

Until the Naval Service establishment at New Westminster advanced the work of conditioning the vessels concerned, inspection of individual units could be effectively accomplished only by bringing selected boats to New Westminster Terminals for inspection by prospective buyers. This resulted in slow progress in sales in the initial period of operations.

Consultation with the General Supervisor of Fisheries of British Columbia and with other authorities, revealed the desired priority in best serving the various types of fishing. Herring fishing was in progress when the Committee opened its offices and, due to the importance of facilitating the production of a large herring pack needed to meet the urgent requirements of the British Government, preference was given to releasing boats used in this branch of the Industry. Spring salmon trolling came on in February. Halibut fishing the following month, and the principal Salmon fishing in June. Wherever possible then, preference in releasing vessels was given in that order.

The principal fishing companies recognized the mutuality of interest in restoring vessels to active fishing and agreed to cooperate with the Committee in every possible way. They explained their position: that they were not interested in the capital investment represented in the purchase of these fishing vessels as such, but acknowledged the necessity of financing white fishermen in support of the policy of the Committee in approving of outright sales only.

In this period there existed an erroneous impression in the minds of certain Japanese groups that they might be allowed to fish under supervision. This built up certain sales resistance, to overcome which the Committee appointed several Japanese recommended by Mr. Kimura, actively to dissipate the impression and to urge all Japanese owners to take advantage of the facilities offered by the Committee in disposing of their vessels.

In addition to the vessels in the Fraser River, descriptions of the impounded vessels at Prince Rupert and out-ports were segregated and their availability advertised; and the fishing companies having interests in the districts concerned, fully advised.

Amongst the vessels impounded were many which, while operated by Japanese fishermen, were actually owned by the fishing companies. Some of these vessels too, sustained damage and/or loss of equipment while in Naval Custody. On verification of approved cwnership, and after survey in the event of claim for loss or damage, these vessels were accordingly released. Such vessels have been reported under the caption "Released to Owners".

Towards the end of this period, a sufficient number of vessels was available for inspection at Fraser River moorings to warrant the inauguration of a regular ferry service from New Westminster Terminals.

The following vessels were released during this period: i.e., from the opening of the Committee's offices to February 25th, 1942.

Type of Vessel worded that to	Released Req's'd by Sales to owners Services	Total Released
Trollers Gillnetters Packers	11 00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	75 and
This recTotal stlos	46 0 000 61 00 000 50 27 00000	-134 thori

In this period 343 persons inspected the vessels and 63 vessels were surveyed by the Surveyors of the Naval Service and the Committee.

given effect through Order-in-Council P.C 3737 May 5th, 1942

Period B - Most Active Sales March 1st - May 31st 1942

tween buyer and seller. When the requirements of the Committee

open up immediately after the inauguration of regular inspection service at the moorings. It was found that the deterrent factor was disagreement as to price. In listing their vessels with the Committee, the majority of Japanese concers quoted an excessive price. This was generally higher than the prospective purchaser was prepared to pay, consequently the Committee engaged a highly qualified fishing vessel valuator to survey all unsold vessels and place a fair value on each unit. The value so determined was used as a basis to establish what became known as the Committee's "Suggested Negotiating Price". Cards were printed showing this negotiating price, and one posted in a window of each pilot house.

This action at once greatly stimulated negotiations and the period of most active sales consequently occurred in March, April, and early in May. Two hundred and fifty-six vessels were sold in March, two hundred and thirty-seven in April and one hundred and thirty-two in May.

The method adopted in surveying vessels for alleged damage or loss of equipment was explained on Fage five (5) hereof. No provision for the payment of the ensuing claims had been made when this sales period opened. The Committee recommended that the necessary finances should be provided for this purpose and suggested that the offices of the Committee be utilized to prepare the necessary documents and submissions to the end that approved claims be promptly paid. This recommendation was accepted and the necessary authorization given effect through Order-in-Council P.C 3737 May 5th, 1942 and P. C. 6787, July 31st, 1942. For the purpose of reference, we shall discuss this factor more in detail later in this report under the caption "Report on Loss and Damage Claims" - Schedule 6, Page 30.

By the middle of May over 600 boats had been sold, and all these sales were consummated by free negotiation between buyer and seller. When the requirements of the Committee were understood by the Japanese owners, the majority became reconciled to the disposal of their vessels and co-operated to this end. This is exemplified in the fact that, while the simple arbitration procedure of the Committee was well understood, in one case only was this arbitration requested in order to settle a price dispute and both parties were completely satisfied with the award.

However, in the latter part of May it became evident that certain Japanese groups were determined to retain ownership of their vessels and leave it to Government agency to continue their care and upkeep indefinitely. Inasmuch as these groups had been afforded an equal opportunity to sell as the co-operating majority, and in view of the fact that it was essential to relieve the Navy Service from the care of the vessels remaining in custody asquickly as possible, the Committee adopted the following resolution: -

"When a bona fide offer to purchase a vessel
is made by an eligible applicant and the price
is deemed fair, but not less than "suggested
negotiating price", and the Japanese continues
to refuse to sell, or places an unduly high
price on his vessel and refuses to arbitrate,
the Committee will sell the vessel at a price
determined as fair by them, accepting cash
payment therefor which shall be held in trust
until the Japanese owner completes the transaction by delivering his license or register
and executing a Bill of Sale.

"The new owner to be given a letter or prepared form, addressed to the Registrar of Shipping, of a nature acceptable to him. This form will permit the new owner to operate the vessel, pending completion of permanent documents."

plan. Following the consummation of a forced sale, the Committee advised the former Japanese owner of the details of the sale, and of the proceeds available to him on the execution by him of the regular sales agreement form furnished by the Committee. Approximately two-thirds of these owners regularized the sale by executing the formal documents, and in these cases the net amount accruing was remitted by the Committee to the vendor at his evacuation camp. The completed bill of sale was then forwarded to the new owner, thus enabling him to obtain a clear title.

The Committee was under obligation to the remaining new owners similarly to clear their titles. Consequently, on explaining the situation to the Custodian of Enemy Property, he, under the authority vested in him, executed bills of sale for the vessels concerned, in behalf of Japanese owners. The titles were thus cleared on all the remaining vessels sold under this plan and the net amounts due Japanese owners remitted accordingly to the Custodian.

The total value of all sales under this plan amounted to \$72,031.00. The Committee's "suggested negotiating price" was \$70,110.00 and the actual surveyed value was \$60,000.00; hence in total, the owners received 2.7% above the negotiating price and 20% above the survey price, on a total of 152 vessels concerned.

Up to this time no releases were approved without assurance that the vessel purchased would be used by the new owner in the Fishing Industry of British Columbia. In the latter part of May, the Committee reached the conclusion that a position had been attained whereby the vessels then returned to the Fishing Industry through sales and releases insured that the production of the industry would not suffer by reason of Naval Impoundment action.

In view of this and with the consent of the Minister of Fisheries, the remaining vessels were offered to the general public and wide publicity of this policy given through the Press. Very few sales to the general public resulted, chiefly due to the rigid gasoline restrictions and also to the fact that the vessels remaining available were not well adapted to other than fishing purposes.

Mission requested that twenty (20) vessels of a specified type and speed be selected from those available for the purposes of the British Admiralty. In co-operation with the Commanding Officer, Pacific Coast Naval Service, this selection was promptly made and the Technical Mission's specification as to repair and shipment carried through to a conclusion during this and in the following period. Further details are shown in Schedule 2, Page 24.

The vessels released in this period were as

follows:

Type of	Sales	Released	Req's'd by	Total
Vessel		to Owners	Services	Released
Seiners	22	0 76 76 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	0	22
Trollers	33		10	46
Gillnetters	463		8	557
Packers	75		15	93
Cod Boats & Misc	32		0	57
TOTAL	625	117	33	775

During this period 2858 people visited the fleet, 364 surveys were made in connection with claims for loss and damage and 713 vessels were surveyed for valuation.

June 1st to August 5th

Period of declining sales and the transfer of vessels remaining unsold at August 5th 1942, to the Custodian of Enemy Property.

As June advanced, it became evident that the capacity of the current market to absorb these vessels was nearing exhaustion. The principal objective of the Committee in regard to the needs of the Fishing Industry having been attained, it became imperative that the Navy personnel at the New Westminster establishment be relieved.

Prolonged negotiations took place with the fishing companies in June and July with the object of having them take over the remaining vessels either by purchase or under a bailment plan with option to purchase. For a time it appeared that one or other of these plans might be successful, but in the end only one Company, Nelson Bros. Fisheries Ltd., concluded an arrangement. They took over the responsibility of 52 vessels on an arrangement which included option of purchasing or of using any one or more vessels during the current fishing season at a nominal rental of \$25.00 per boat.

Early in July, when it was apparent that the fishing companies would not take all of the remaining vessels, the Committee recommended that the Custodian of Enemy Property assume responsibility for them. This recommendation was approved and the transfer authorized through Order in-council P. C. 6247 July 20th, 1942. The Committee assisted both agencies in arranging the details of effecting the transfer which was consummated on August 5th, 1942.

This transfer included 97 vessels at New West-minster, 16 at Prince Rupert and several at outyling canneries, and the responsibility for the supervision of the Nelson Bros. agreement.

this number w. appear to be 11

92 (13)

Coincident with the release of vessels by
Naval authority, a joint survey was made by the surveyors
of Naval Service and the Custodian's office in order to
fix responsibility for loss or damage claims.

A summary of vessels released during the period, June 1st to August 5th inclusive, follows:

Perio	CONTROL FROM THE CONTROL FOR THE PROPERTY OF T	- August 51	th, 1942		
Type of Vessel	Released	Req'n'd by Services	Transf'd to Custodian	Total	
Seiner Troller G.Netter Packer Cod Boats	13 0 0 16 2 138 12 14 3 7	- 2 - 1 - 16 - 1	44 70 18 43	17 60 219 19 70	Includes helson Fisheries
evac TOTAL	. Jacob e 24e	17 6,	176° aont	385) VOV-

These included all vessels previously requisi-

NOTE - Minus figures represent number of requisitioned vessels converted to sales.

661 persons inspected the fleet in this period, 299 vessels were surveyed for assessment of claims for loss or damage and 214 for valuation.

Air Force were received from the Department of Munitions

time these vessels were requisitioned, early in the year,

August 6th to November, 1942

Final period of operation

Order-in-Council P.C. 3737 only provided for the transfer of the vessels unsold at July 31st, 1942, together with the records pertaining thereto. No provision was made for the transfer of records and of the unfinished business of the Committee concerned with the vessels sold or released by it nor for the administration of Naval claims. However, the Custodian agreed to assume this added responsibility, provided the Committee would bring this work to as near a state of completion as practical, prior to transfer.

associations be earried on by correspondence.

It was also mutually agreed that the Committee would release to the Custodian's office at the time of such transfer, as many experienced members of its staff as were deemed necessary.

The remaining work of the Committee included completion of: Naval claims, purchases of vessels for the Royal Canadian Services, disbursements of amounts due Japanese owners and the assembling of permanent records.

In many cases the assembling and completing of documents supporting claims against Naval Service proved a slow procedure, due chiefly to the delay in securing the necessary papers from both the Fishing Companies and the evacuated Japanese owners. Schedule 6, Page 30, contains further details of the principles followed in the administration of claims, and the status when transfer was made to the Custodian.

t of the Committee's appoints

In the latter part of July instructions to purchase vessels for the Naval Service, the Army and the Air Force were received from the Department of Munitions and Supply, These included all vessels previously requisitioned from the Japanese Fishing Fleet: 27 by Naval Service, to by Army Service Corps and 6 by the Air Force. At the time these vessels were requisitioned, early in the year, values were established by the Advisory Board on requisitioning and were based on surveys made by Lloyd's Register of Shipping. Originally it had been intended that all the requisitioned vessels should be chartered from the Japanese owners and it was not until July that this policy was changed and outright purchase decided upon.

These purchases were effected on the basis of
Lloyd's surveyed price, charter fees from the time of requisitioning to date of purchase being disregarded. All the Japanese
owners were living at evacuation camps, which required that
negotiations be carried on by correspondence.

While difficulty was experienced in satisfying some of the vendors over the cancellation of interim charter fees, all the purchases were brought to a practical conclusion during this final period.

The method used in handling the documents concerned was as follows:-

- (1) When bill of sale was completed this document with the Committee's certified invoice was forwarded to the Negotiations Branch for the Director General, Shipbuilding Branch, Department of Munitions and Supply.
- (2) On receipt of cheques in payment financial encumbrances were cleared, the Committee's commission of one percent (1%) and survey charges deducted and the balance of purchase price remitted to vendor. A statement showing the details of the purchases is included herein as Schedule 3, Pages 25 and 26.

Audit of the Committee's accounts was carried out under the direction of Mr. S. V. Roberts, Supervising Treasury Officer, Vancouver. Verification of the sales operating records was completed December 3rd, and these were transferred to the Custodian, December 4th, 1942.

The following statements show the closing figures as verified by audit, details and analyses of which are included in the following section and as Schedule 4, Page 27 oin Part II hereof. contained in Order-in-Council PC

Distribution of Sales Values

Soles of vessels to	Fishing Industry	No. Vessels	\$1,021,530.89
and the second second second second	Royal Canadian Services	sheet 43 coss	314,210.00
rote on remaining was	British Admiralty Technical Mission	20	70,315.00
	vessels sold	950	\$1,406,055.89
Sale of Fishing gear	r, Camps, etc.		16,800.00
Sale of Misc. small	Row-boats, etc.	te so that on	326.00
TOTAL	value of sales		\$1,423,181.89

Closing Financial Summary

Total of Cash Collections on above sales

\$1,116,889.62

Payment to Vendors \$1,089,097.47

Remittances to Receiver General 16,658.81

Transferred to Custodian Nov. 30/42 11,133.34

Balance

\$1,116,889.62

\$1,116,889.62

The details of the balance transferred to the Office of the Custodian, viz., \$11,133.34, are set out in the audited statement included herein as Schedule 5A, Page 29. The history of each account concerned is contained in individual vessel files which will enable the Custodian to complete all transactions without difficulty.

COST OF OPERATION OF COMMITTEE'S OFFICES 7.

The total cost of the Committee was considerably effected by the added work involved in the handling of Naval Claims for loss and damage and in the supervision of the conditioning and shipping of British Admiralty Technical Mission vessels.

The recommendation of the Committee that a One percent (1%) commission on sales be assessed, was based on an estimate of cost which contemplated only the implementing of the terms of reference contained in Order-in-Council PC 288, January 13th, 1942.

While the additional costs were anticipated when these added responsibilities were assigned to it, the Committee considered it impracticable to recommend that the commission rate on remaining vessels be increased in order to cover the added costs. However, a record of the expenses of the several services performed was maintained and thus it is possible to submit the following distribution of costs so that assessment may be made accordingly:-

Pay Rolls, including Marine Surveyors Office Rent and General Office Expense Travelling expenses, including Auto allowances Sundry Expenses, including legal fees, Advertising - General Administrative Expense Total Expenses Distribution of Expenses to Services A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, O-1-0 P. G. 258 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services 2,395.17 9.6 C - British Admiralty Technical Misson Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. D - Administration of Navel Claims Settlements - O-1-0 P. C. 3737.5/5/42 7.655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of special services \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in secondance with Co-1-C F.C. 987, Fab. 5/42 and from recovery of Survey fess Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	CLASSACIA CHIEF CONTROL TO THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR	Amount
Office Rent and General Office Expense 5,576.79 Travelling expenses, including Auto allowances 952.08 Sundry Expenses, including legal fees, Advertising - General Administrative Expense 2,447.21 Total Expenses 2,447.21 Total Expenses 2,447.21 Total Expenses 4,913.49 Distribution of Expenses to Services Amount 5 A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, O-I-O P. G. 258 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services 2,395.17 9.6 C - British Admiralty Technical Mission Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shippine, etc. 2,551.81 10.3 D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - O-I-C P. G. 3737.5/5/42 7,655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Total cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervision \$7.748.66 Total cost of supervision \$7.74		Con with continue
Travelling expenses, including Auto allowances Sundry Expenses, including legal fees,	Pay Rolls, including Marine Surveyors	4771771
Sundry Expenses, including Auto allowances Sundry Expenses, including legal fees, Advertising - General Administrative Expense Total Expenses 2,447.21 Total Expenses Amount A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, O-I-C P. C. 288 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services C - British Admiralty Technical Misson Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. D - Administration of Mavel Claims Settlements - O-I-C P.C. 3737.5/5/42 7.655.04 Total cost of all Services Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of special services \$9.403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 927, Feb. 9/42 and from Commission at 1% in accordance with C-I-C 9.C. 927, Feb. 9/42 and from Commission at 1% in accordance with C-I-C 9.C. 927, Feb. 9/42 and from Procovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Office Rent and General Office Expense	
Total Expenses Total Expenses Total Expenses Total Expenses 24,913.49 Distribution of Expenses to Services A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, O-I-C P. C. 288 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services C - British Admiralty Technical Missen Vessels, Purchase of - Eupervision of repair - Shipping, etc. D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - O-I-C P.C. 3737,5/5/42 7,655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7,655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in scoordance with O.I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 Recess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Travelling expenses, including Auto allowances	
Distribution of Expenses to Services A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, O-I-C P. C. 288 \$12,311.47 \$49.5 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services 2,395.17 9.6 C - British Admiralty Technical Mission Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. 2,551.81 10.3 D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - O-I-C P. C. 3737.5/5/42 7.655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7,655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7,655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$9,655.04 Less Cost of supervising haval claims \$9,403.70 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 285, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with C.I.C P.C. 957, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,655.81 Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Sundry Expenses, including legal trative Expense	2,447.21
A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, 0-I-C P. C. 288 812,311.47 49.5 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services 2,395.17 9.6 C - British Admiralty Technical Misson Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. 2,551.81 10.3 D - Administration of Navel Claims Settlements - 0-I-C P. C. 3737.5/5/42 7,655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in secondance with 0-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02		\$24,717.47
A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, 0-I-C P. C. 288 812,311.47 49.5 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services 2,395.17 9.6 C - British Admiralty Technical Misson Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. 2,551.81 10.3 D - Administration of Navel Claims Settlements - 0-I-C P. C. 3737.5/5/42 7,655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in secondance with 0-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	100 20181	
A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, 0-I-C P. C. 288 812,311.47 49.5 B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C.Services 2,395.17 9.6 C - British Admiralty Technical Misson Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. 2,551.81 10.3 D - Administration of Navel Claims Settlements - 0-I-C P. C. 3737.5/5/42 7,655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in secondance with 0-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Distribution of Expenses to Services Amou	unt %
C - British Admiralty Technical Mission Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - O-I-C P.C. 3737,5/5/42 7,655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7,655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 285, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with C-I-C P.C. 957, Feb. 9/42 and from Commission Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	A - Sales and Releases of Vessels to the Fishing Industry, O-I-C P. C. 258 \$12,311	DIA TOP BEE
Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - O-I-C P.C. 3737.5/5/42 7.655.04 30.6 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.65	B - Purchase of Vessels for R.C. Services	767 TAB
D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - O-I-C P.C. 3737,5/5/42 7,655.04 Total cost of all Services \$24,913.49 100.0 Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above \$24,913.49 Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 285, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 957, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,655.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	C - British Admiralty Technical Misson Vessels, Purchase of - Supervision of repair - Shipping, etc. 2,55	
Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, 15,509.79 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	D - Administration of Naval Claims Settlements - 0-I-C P.O. 3737.5/5/42 7.65	55.04 30.6
Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry Grand total cost of all Services as shown above Less Cost of supervising Naval claims \$7.655.04 Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.51 Credit by Commission & Survey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, 15,509.79 Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Services \$24,9	13.49 100.0
Less Cost re B.A.T.M. vessels \$2,551.81 Credit by Commission & Smrvey 803.15 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, 15,509.79 Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Recapitulation to show the Net Cost of Services in returning vessels to the Fishing Industry	\$24,913.49
Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.66 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288, 15,509.79 Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Less Cost of supervising	
Net B.A.T.M. 1,748.66 1,748.60 TOTAL cost of special services \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 285, 15,509.79 Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$1,149.02	Less Cost re B.A.T.M. Vessels Va., Jones 803.15	
Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 255, Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 957, Feb. 9/42 and from recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 \$9,403.70 \$15,509.79 15,658.51		66
Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 255, 15,509.79 Jan. 13th, 1942 Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with 0-I-C P.C. 957, Feb. 9/42 and from 16,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function 1,149.02	19 10 May we have	70 \$9,403.70
Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from 15,658.81 recovery of Survey fees Excess revenue on this Principal Function \$ 1,149.02	Leaving gross cost of carrying through the principal function of the Committee under Order-in-Council P.C. 288,	15,509.79
Excess revenue on this Principal	Revenue received on these vessels from Commission at 1% in accordance with O-I-C P.C. 987, Feb. 9/42 and from	16,658.81
	Excess revenue on this Frincipal	vince since

thorain.

CLASSIFICATION OF VESSELS:

The impounded vessels, as finally listed with the Committee, consisted of the following:

No. of Vessels	% of Total	Type
No. of Vessels 68 120 860 NS 148 9 141 NO	5 64 11 11	Seiners Trollers Gill-Netters Packers Cod-Fishers, etc.
1337 700	100	Total consequently in

vessels varied greatly in age, consequently in value. While information as to age was not available for all vessels, this data was supplied for a large number by the vessels, this data was supplied for a large number by the Japanese owners when listing their vessels with the Committee. Japanese owners when listing their vessels with the Committee. The following compilation from this data can be taken as indicative of the relative position by age groups. It should be noted however, that the natural tendency of the Japanese owners in supplying this information was towards minimization. They were the vendors of these vessels.

y were the vendor	No. of Vessels	% of Total
Age 1 to 3 years 4 to 6 "	210 889 209 157 68-3	18.4 18.3 13.8
7 to 9 " 10 to 12 " 13 to 15 " 16 to 18 "	236 175 60	20.7 15.3 5.2
19 to 21 "	144 34 16	3.9 3.0 1.4
25 years old and over	Public - Some	100%

In normal years there are several hundred fishing vessels built annually in British Columbia, especially of the Gill-Netter type. Construction practically has ceased under the restrictive priority conditions prevailing. As a under the restrictive priority conditions prevailing. As a consequence, the availability of these Japanese vessels consequence, the availability of these Japanese vessels served as a means of permitting the metamorphosis which has taken place in the Fishing Industry of this Province since taken place in the Fishing Industry of this Province since Japanese fishermen were denied the right to participate therein.

8. ANALYTICAL SUMMARIES OF ALL VESSELS SOLD AND RELEASED

The following table shows the distribution of all vessels registered through the offices of the Committee.

SUMMARY OF VESSELS RELEASED

Number of Units Closing figures

	nf 1	inits v	TODING			
Numb	er of	Contract of the Contract of th		C	OD BOATS	TAMO
sold to SE	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street, Original Property and Name of Stree	ROLLERS	Q.NETTERS	PACKERS 94	58 T	SS7
The Public	53	51		15	1	50
		3	1	/	12.3	27
Br. Adm. T. Mission			2	8	1	
R.C.Naval Service	, 14	2		3	the state of	6
	cherie		5	-	174.5	/
R.C. Army Corps	TAZ.	4	633	120	61	950
TOTAL sold	67	69		12.6	6.4	100
PERCENTAGE	7.1	7.3	66.6		40	200
Released to	1	5	145	9	20.0	100
OMHET	E	2.5	72.5	4.5	20.0	114
PERCENTAGE	-5		1100 0 82	18	1.0 41	187.
# Transferred to Custodian	-	46			22.0	100
	-	25.0	44.0	9.0		
PERCENTAGE	一元	anims	200 860	147	142	1337
Total vessels released	68	120	No. of the last of		10.6	100
	5.1	9.0	64.	E 957	6.0	age 13
PERCENTAGE	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUM		red to Nel			/
1 1 1 1 VE	ssels	T.STITET	-19 8	0.0 07	R62	/

includes 52 vessels transferred to

ludes 52 V	507 .76-2	toot	30.00	3,805
No. person	s inspecting f	damage	17 0	927
No. survey	s for value	027	100.0	
180 · mm-	4 ALL 4 SEC. 1			949

References for further details -Page 23 Schedule 1 Sales to B.A.T.M. Services " 2 Sales to the Public Sales to R.C. Services " 3 " 25

Most of the vessels turned over to the Custodian will be sold or leased to the Fishing Industry, over fifty having been sold to date. Therefore, ultimately, 90% of the entire impounded fleet will have been returned to active types except trollers and out wests - types principally fishing.

sold freely to over markets wherese products from other tra generally so to specific (19) and companies.

.S.

An analysis of the 887 vessels sold to the Public through these offices shows the following distribution:-

through these offices shows the	Total number of vessels	% or total 26.7
Principal Fishing Co's B. C. Packers Ltd. Fishing Co.	237 151 99 67	17.1
A. B. C. Packing Co. A. B. C. Packing Co. Nelson Bros. Ltd. Nelson Bros. and Sons	67 29 29 22	2.5
Great West Packing Co.	13	74.5
TOTAL		yps of weaker
man and	227	25.5
Individual Fishermon Small Companies GRAND TOTAL	887	

Distribution of Vessels Sold

	D AN	Types	
	Fishing Companies No. %	No. %	No. %
Seiners Trollers Gillnetters Packers Cod Boats, etc	18 2.7 507 76.9 19 2.9 660 100.0	118 52.0 20 8.8 39 17.2 227 100.0	625 94 10.6 58 6.5 887 100.0

It may be noted that 75% of the sales to the Industry were made to the principal fishing companies compared with 25% to the individuals and small companies. An analytical with 25% to the individuals and small companies. As schedule 1, statement of this distribution is shown herein as Schedule 1, page 23.

The large companies purchased the major percentage of all types except trollers and cod boats - types principally concerned in the production of fresh fish. This product is concerned in open markets whereas products from other types sold freely in open markets whereas products from other types generally go to specific canning companies.

(50)

I.S.

The large companies were concerned in seeing to

It that vessels of the gillnetter, seiner and packer types

were made available to fishermen who required financial

assistance in securing these vessels. The Companies purchased

the boats in their own names but immediately resold to individual

the boats in their own names but immediately resold to individual

fishermen at the same purchase price, giving liberal terms for

fishermen at the same purchase price, giving liberal terms for

fishermen at the same purchase price, giving liberal terms for

fishermen at the same purchase price, giving liberal terms for

fishermen at the same purchase price, giving liberal terms for

fishermen at the same purchase price, giving liberal terms for

conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

repayment without interest. It is reasonable to conclude that

The average price paid for each type of vessel (exclusive of those especially selected for the British Admiralty Technical Mission and for the Royal Canadian Services) was as follows:

rollows:		Average price
rollows: Type Seiners	No. of Vessels	\$ 5,694.26
Type	53	1,321.05
Seiners	57	620.14
Seiners	625	2,275.78
Seiners Trollers Gillnetters Packers	94	740.04
packers	58	\$ 1,151.67
Cod Boats, etc.	887	
TOTAL	a mackers	were principally of

The Seiners and packers were principally of the smaller size, most of the larger vessels of these types having

Deen requisitioned by the Canadian berial price originally Comparisons have been made of the price originally placed on vessels by Japanese owners, the Surveyors' price placed on vessels by Japanese owners, the Surveyors' price and the Committee's "Suggested negotiating price". Price and the Committee's "Suggested negotiating price" but the large number and the available on all vessels but the large number data was not available on all vessels but the large number taken into calculation can be accepted as indicative of the total position.

(57

(29)

er

N.S.

The result showed that the actual average sales price

was

5.8% above the "Suggested negotiating price"

21.7% above the Surveyors' appraised price

22.5% below the Japanese initial asking price

It has been stated already that, except for "Forced Sales" all transactions were consummated after free negotiation between buyer and seller. No sales were approved at a price below "Suggested negotiating price" without the consent of the owner.

CONCLUSION

9.

The Committee gratefully acknowledges the excellent co-operation received throughout the period of its activities

Commanding Officer, Pacific Coast, Naval Service and the Officers of his Command from the following:

Deputy Minister of Fisheries, Mr. A. J. Whitmore and Mr. F. O. Weeks, of the Department of Fisheries, Ottawa.

Chief Supervisor of Fisheries, Vancouver, and Mr. Wm. Chapman

Custodian of Enemy Property, Vancouver

Registrar of Shipping, Vancouver, - Mr. A. J. Gleam and Mr. S. B. Kemp

Registrar of Shipping, New Westminster

Registrar of Shipping, Prince Rupert

Royal Canadian Mounted Police

British Columbia Security Commission

The Principle Fishing Companies

The Daily Press

Respectfully submitted

JAPANESE FISHING VESSELS DISPOSAL COMMITTEE

Executive Assistant

(22)

(29)

Schedule No. 5A

ng ner 8

8

.N.S.

loz 18 SI 1.N.S. 一 の 大の 日本の 日本の 一 Schedule No. 5A (29)

ANALYSIS OF VESSELS SOLD TO THE FISHING INDUSTRY

PRINCIPAL FISHING COMPANIES	S No.	EINERS Amount	T No.	ROLLERS	GIL No.	LNETTERS Amount	PA No.	CKERS Amount	No.	BOATS, etc.	No.	OTAL Amount
B. C. Packers	11	51,770.00	9	15,225.00	183	121,399.00	25	\$56,385.00	9	6,075.00	237	*250,854.00
Canadian Fish Co.	6	39,771.00	2	2,900.00	119	81,431.95	18	37,400.00	6	6,565.00	151	168,067.95
A.B.C.Packing Co.	1	7,500.00	-	24 2 B	83	45,140.04	13	21,849.00	2	226.30	99	74,715.34
Nelson Bros.	5	34,000.00	4	4,825.00	55	35,666.00	3	4,000.00	SE PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PE	MARKE ES	67	78,491.00
J.H. Todd and Sons	8	48,490.00	1	1,500.00	16	8,975.00	3	13,900.00	1	675.00	29	73,540.00
F. Millerd & Co.	5	6,415.00	をはない	2000年4月20日	18	11,225.00	5	9,900.00	1	605.00	29	28,145.00
Great West Pkg. Co.		Marie and Marie	SE SE	THE STATE OF	21	12,825.00	1	3,400.00	-	-	22	16,225.00
Cassiar Pack. Co.	1007		820	1 88 8	12	6,375.00	1	750.00	0.0	RE SENS	13	7,125.00
North Shore Pkg. Co.	4	27,200.00	2	3,300.00	60 - CO	5 3 9	1	9,500.00	23		7	40,000.00
Queen Charlotte Fisheries Ltd.	2	21,750.00	1.57	# M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M		10 0 0	4	6,050.00	-	-	6	27,800.00
TOTAL	- A	236,896.00	18	\$27,750.00	507	\$323,036.99	74	163,134.00	19	14,146.30	660	\$764,963.29
AVERAGE PER VESSEL	070	5,640.38	0 0 00	1,541.67	10 %: 24 O	637.15		2,204.51		744.54		1,159.03
INDIVIDUAL FISHERMEN	11	64,900.00	39	47,550.00	118	64,552.00	20	50,789.60	39	28,776.00	227	256,567.60
AVERAGE PER VESSEL	AL .	5,900.00	THE PART OF SECOND	1,219.23	1	547.05		2,539.48		737.85		1,130.25
0 1 0 5	you.	A 84	57	75,300.00	625	387,588.99	94	213,923.60	58	42,922.30	887	1,021,530.89
TOTAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE PER VESSEL	53	\$5,694.26	71	\$1,321.05	1000	\$620.14		\$2,275.78		\$740.04		\$1,151.67
新 和 工程工程		4 0										MA MAAN

REPORT ON BRITISH ADMIRALTY TECHNICAL MISSION VESSELS PURCHASED

During the month of March, in co-operation with Naval Service, 20 vessels of specific dimensions and power were selected from those available (principally of the small packer type) for the British Admiralty Technical Mission, Ottawa. After trial speed runs and final selection of vessels, the Committee was requested to complete the commission in co-ordination with Lloyd's Register of Shipping. This included the following details:

Surveying for value and reconditioning
Supervising shipyard work
Purchase of vessels from Japanese owners Purchase of spare parts as specified Securing priority for U.S.A. purchases
Securing of special rail freight rates
Shipping of vessels and parts as completed
Preparation of all documents, including invoices
Settlement of purchase price with Japanese

The first vessels were shipped on May 9th, 1942 and the final shipment was made July 27th.

The amount of detail involved in carrying out this work was considerably out of proportion to that of ordinary sales, consequently a record of the cost to the Committee was made and is shown as a separate item on Page 18 hereof.

Particulars of the vessels concerned are as follows:

No.	Name of Vessel	Registered or Licensed No.	Vessel type	Purchase Price
1 2 3 4	"Biwako" "Blue Heaven" "Brockton Point" "Cherryland"	134105 V 2292 NW 150427 1798 NW	Troller Froller Packer Packer	\$1,640.00 -4,100.00 3,260.00 2,140.00
5678	"Florence U" "Gardner M" "Grain" "Hommura"	154339 154669 154973 154331	Packer Packer Packer Packer	4,180.00 2,650.00 1,860.00 4,450.00
9 10 11 12	"Howe Sound II" "Kuroshima II" "Matuse I" "Matsue II"	140919 153271 134115 158920	Packer Packer Packer Packer	5,430.00 3,750.00 2,440.00 4,660.00
13 14 15 16	"Ozaki II" "S. A." "Salt Spray" "Sea Ragle H"	2265 NW 1573 V 141533 1626	Packer Packer Packer Other	4,200.00 2,800.00 2,500.00 3,650.00
17 18 19 20	"T.M.B. No. 1" "Viola Y" "Western Pride" "Y. W. No. 11"	170685 2776 NW 170394 172340	Packer Packer Troller Gillnetter	4,000.00 5,550.00 2,680.00 4,375.00
	TOTAL	OF 20 VESSELS		70 335 00

*70,315.00

STATEMENT OF VESSELS PURCHASED BY THE COMMITTEE IN BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF MUNITIONS AND SUPPLY FOR THE ROYAL CANADIAN SERVICES

No.	Name of Vessel	Registered or Licensed No.	Vessel type	Purchase Price
		NAVAL SERVICE		2,500.00
1 2 3	"Barclay Sound" "Blue Nose" "C. S. C. No. II"	172543 3236 NW 155102	Seiner Troller Seiner	\$16,400.00 3,650.00 7,500.00
456	"Early Field" "Glendale V" "Seiner"	153080 1573 V 152892	Seiner Seiner Seiner	7,300.00 14,800.00 8,360.00
7 8 9	"Western Maid" "May S" "Chatham S"	158916 154437 183606	Seiner Seiner Packer	10,200.00 8,750.00 1,650.00
10 11 12	"Smith Sound" "Sea Flash" "Kuroshio"	172530 2750 171790	Seiner Troller Packer	14,100.00 2,950.00 22,000.00
13 14 15	"Arashio" "Sakura" "Howe Sound"	171791 3253 NW 173572	Packer Gillnet Seiner	21,830.00 1,500.00 21,000.00
16 17 18	"Camenita" "Y. M." "Chamiss Bay"	154340 2650 NW 154926	Seiner Gillnet Seiner	6,900.00 1,750.00 10,800.00
19 20 21	"Departure Bay" "Departure Bay II" "Moresby III"	153296 154660 153050	Packer Packer Seiner	7,500.00 12,500.00 5,850.00
22 23 24	"Merry Chase" "Hatta VII" "Loyal II"	155235 171798 156618	Seiner Seiner Packer	11,250.00 10,250.00 13,800.00
25 26 27	"Loyal I" "Springtime V" "Queen Bee"	154648 172350 1988 PR	Packer Packer Misc.	10,900.00 12,400.00 1,800.00
	Total of value	- 27 vessels		\$267,690.00

2 grander 104,380.00
2 Garher 104,380.00
2 1 267,690.00

10 H3800

Schedule No. 3

No.	Name of Vessel	Registered or Licensed No.	Vessel type	Purchase Price
		AIR FORCE		
1 2 3	"Red Wing" "Silver Spring" "Evergreen"	3267 NW 3220 NW 3263 NW	Troller Troller Troller	\$ 3,550.00 3,750.00 3,500.00
456	"Kiku" "Sea Maid Y II" "Springtime"	172327 173402 152878	Packer Packer Packer	5,600.00 7,500.00 6,100.00
	Total	of value - 6 ve	ssels ····	\$30,000.00

ARMY	SERVICE	CORPS
OF STREET SHARKS RIGHT	THE HOUSE IN THE R. LEW TOP LAND	THE THE SECTION SE

1 2 3	"Mary Y" 3149 "Blue Heaven" "Castle Island"	1504 NW 2896 NW 0910 NW	Troller Troller Gillnet	\$1,700.00 3,180.00 1,100.00
456	"S. H." 1372 "T. Y." "Y. O. II"	2291 NW 2249 NW 2778 NW	Gillnet Troller Misc	1,100.00 1,750.00 1,050.00
789	"Elsie N" "Emy S" "Riptide"	3303 NW 1809 NW 5415 NW	Gillnet Troller Gillnet	1,800.00
10	"I. S. "	2768 NW	Gillnet	1,800.00

Total of value - 10 vessels \$16,520.00

4 Irollers 6 Galhets "

10

ALL SERVICES

Grand total - 43 vessels 314,210.00

COD BOATS

& MISC. N.OLS.

TOTAL

27

Schedule

FINANCIAL SUMMARIES

,	No. (Vessel		Cash Collection:	Payment to Vendors	Com. & S'vey charges remitted to Rec.General
February	46	124,729.85	\$77.352.97	\$55,343.05	3
March	256	401,575.39	315,962.47	278,600.29	1,247.20
April	238	202,046.00	182,886.87	210,308.34	4,442.75
May	136	133,243.00	97.051.84	85,821.34	2,765.46
June	120	73,150.00	67,990.00	41,750.30	1,734.93
July	58	30,698.00	30,707.00	24,935.85	1,059.00
August	41	123,269.00	80,608.90	71,389.64	459.48
September	7	12,661.65	11,229.57	52,893.63	1,335.19
October	29	236,723.00	232,600.00	166,892.45	151.62
November	19	85,095.00	20,500.00	101,162.58	3,463.18
TOTAL	950	1,423,181.89	1,116,889.62	1,089,097.47	\$16,658.81

CLOSING ACCOUNTS AS VERIFIED BY TREASURY DEPT.

Total collections as above		\$1,116,889.62
Payment to Vendors as above	\$1,089,097.47	
Remitted/to Receiver General	16,658.81	
Paid to Custodian of Enemy Property, 11/30/42	11,133.34	
FOTAL disbursement	1,116,889.62	1,116,889.62

ANALYSIS OF TRANSFERRED BALANCE TO CUSTODIAN

UNDISBURSED AMOUNTS

Dat May 13 May 22	-	Vessel "Booth Bay"	*123.75 ts" 322.74	Reason of deferred disbursement C.T.L claim pending Identification of owner
	830 835	"Howthone II" "Mayne Island" "Mareno" "Grain"	146.00 195.50 27.20 1836.40	* not complete C.T.L claim pending C.T.L claim pending C.T.L claim pending Held for discharge of
Oct.	24 625A 5 869 5 870 5 871 5 873 5 876 5 878 5 883 5 883 5 883 6 895	"Scrap material "Bluenose" "C.S.C. II" "Early Field" "Seiner" "Chatham S" "Sea Flash" "Camenita" "Y. M. "2650 "Hatta V" "Sea Maid Y II"	68.90 150.00 300.00 255.00 286.00 71.85 368.00 32.00 2,013.53	is report. "As
Les in Pai	s Commissi avoices	id balances	719.20	mortgage

*C.T.L.-Constructive Total Loss

The method of handling these claims under this classification is described under schedule 6 Page 32. These unpaid balances represent the salvage values of damaged vessels and will be remitted with claims as a credit against claimed value of vessel. The claims pending in this statement were not completely assessed as to fair value at the time of transfer of records.

The various items shown as held for the credit of R.C.N.S. represent approximate amounts estimated by Naval Service to be deducted from settlement of purchase price of vessels, as agreed to by Japanese owners at the time of requisitioning.

The Commission on unpaid invoices, \$719.20, deducted prior to transfer to the Custodian, was remitted to Receiver General of Canada as part of the Committee's earnings. It represents the Commission and Survey charges on service vessels purchased on which payment had not been received from the Treasury at the time accounts were closed.

REPORT ON LOSS AND DAMAGE CLAIMS - NAVAL SERVICE

In the process of immobilizing Japanese fishing vessels and while these vessels were in Naval Custody, considerable hull damage and equipment losses occured as incidental to the large scale impounding action under emergent conditions. The greatest damage occurred in December 1941 and January 1942, to the vessels in the Fraser River where the greater part of the immobilized fleet was assembled and where severe weather conditions prevailed in those months.

The steps taken by Naval Service to re-condition damaged vessels is explained in Section three of this report. As vessels were sold or released to registered and licensed owners, surveys were made by the Surveyors of Naval Service and the Committee, from which values for claim allowances were assessed. On the recommendations of Commanding Officer Pacific Coast, Naval Service, and the Committee, provision was made for the payment of approved claims through the authority of Order-in-Council PC 3737, May 5th. and PC 6787, July 31st. 1942.

THE PROPERTY OF THE ENGINE STATES WHEN STREET, IN SA SHE

event of evaluable segmen but being unitable to fill requires

ments and thole being supplied by the Physicarers, tight was

The general principles in the acceptance of claim liability as recited in these orders-in-council are briefly outlined as follows:

- (a) "Vessels sunk during impoundment. Cost of raising and reconditioning to place machinery in running condition, making hull reasonably water-tight and providing one priming coat of paint.
- (b) Other hull and engine damage. Cost of reconditioning on satisfactory assurance that damage occurred while under impoundment.
- (c) Equipment losses. Allowance based on a sufficiency for the safe operation of vessel, conditional upon there being satisfactory conditional upon there being satisfactory evidence that such equipment was aboard when evidence that such equipment was aboard when vessel was impounded, Due consideration to be given to depreciated values."

The general procedure followed in the handling of claims is outlined herein as a matter of record.

Survey for damage or loss was a prerequisite to the acceptance of liability by Naval Service. Considerable equipment removed from vessels at the time of their impoundment was assembled at a Naval Depot, New Westminster Terminals.

As vessels were sold and surveys made, these stores were drawn upon, insofar as possible, to satisfy allowed claims for shortages. When these stores were exhausted, or in the event of available stores not being suitable to fill requirements and these being supplied by the Purchasers, claim was accepted by the Committee to the value allowed by the Surveyors.

As these vessels arrived at assembly points in the Fraser River under Naval escort, and the Japanese crews were disembarked, they were told by Naval Officers to take their personal belongings ashore. In many cases these instructions were taken literally and nearly everything portable was taken. For this reason, the principle of disallowing claims for personal belongings, galley equipment and the like, was adopted.

Except under special circumstances equipment allowances were confined to the bare requirements necessary to permit of the safe operation of vessel, such as anchor, line, batteries, navigation lights, compass and certain engine room tools.

When hull damage was found, and Surveyors determined that such damage occurred while in Naval Custody, repairs that such damage occurred while in Naval Custody, repairs were effected by Naval personnel or at shippards under Naval supervision or appropriate allowance made if the reconditioning were left to the Purchaser.

In the case of a vessel being declared a constructive total loss by the Surveyors and approved by the Committee, the value of the vessel at the time of impoundment was determined by Surveyors and this amount allowed the owner in settlement of claim. Where any salvage value remained in the damaged vessel it was sold to the highest bidder and the amount received was taken into the accounts of the Committee and reported in the claim settlement statement.

The liability of Naval Service for loss and damage was to Japanese owners. Consequently the purchaser was required to support his claim with an "Assignment of Claim" form executed by the Japanese vendor.

Claims were filed at the offices of the Committee when they were reviewed by the joint Surveyors, and Claim settlement statements prepared. These documents were then signed by the Surveyors, the Executive Assistant, the Chairman or Vice-Surveyors, the Committee and the Commanding Officer Pacific chairman of the Committee and the Commanding Officer Pacific Coast, Naval Service. Vouchers were prepared to cover and Submitted with all related documents to the Department of Submitted, Ottawa.

On receipt of settlement cheque by the Committee the execution of a final "Release and Discharge" form was secured coincidentally with payment to Claimant. This document was executed in duplicate, the original of which was mailed to Mr. F. O. Weeks, Chief Treasury Officer, Department of Fisheries, and the duplicate filed in vessel history files now in the offices of the Custodian of Enemy Property.

All naval claims could not be completed before the records of the Committee were turned over to the Custodian on November 30th. 1942. It was found that some of the new owners actively engaged in fishing immediately after purchasing their vessels had not executed their claim documents. Fishing Companies also were dilatory in this respect. Due to the last extraordinary salmon run on the Fraser River and a shortage of labor the entire staff including that of the office, was engaged in production, and consequently they were forced to delay completion of their claim documents. All of these were brought as nearly as possible to completion prior to turning the records over to the Custodian.

It was found that an enormous amount of detail was involved in handling these claims and a considerable part of the
remaining staff of the Committee for the past several months
was occupied in this work.

The approximate statistical claims position at the time of transfer was as follows:

Shipyard claims Constructive total losses General claims Total	No. elaims 264 \$55,191.69 15 11,485.00 400 37,836.50 679 104,513.19	claims 55,191.69 6,833.12 27,270.69	Reduction 4,651.88 10,565.81 15,217.69
Assembled and vouchered Partly assembled Total claims registered	505 \$72,834.23 174 16.461.27 679 \$9,295.50		
Claims paid Claims unpaid Total claims registered	338 45,180.57 341 44,114.93 679 89,295.50		