

5717

Mission

FILE NO.

5717

OFFICE OF THE CUSTODIAN
JAPANESE SECTION

To be completed by persons of the Japanese race having no property in any protected area.

NAME: HAYASHI Takeo

HOME ADDRESS: Horne Ave., Mission, B.C. REGISTRATION No. 13648

SEX: Male AGE: 21 MARRIED? No

OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYER: Millhand - K. Ko, Imura, Mission, B.C.

NAME OF WIFE OR HUSBAND: None ADDRESS: None

NAMES OF LIVING CHILDREN: None ADDRESS: None

ADDRESS: _____

ADDRESS: _____

I certify that the above information is true and complete and state that I have no property of any kind whatsoever in any protected area in British Columbia.

Dated this 21st day of April 1942.

WITNESS:

A. Williams

(Signature)

T. Hayashi

LIST OF LIABILITIES:

None

INFORMATION FROM R.C.M.P.

Date

Sept. 23/43.

Our File No.

5717

Full Name

HAYASHI

Takeo

(Surname in Block Letters)

Registration No.

15648

Male ☒ - Female
(check)

Age

May 20, 1920

Former Address

P.O. Box 133,

Mission, B.C.

Date Evacuated

Apr. 24/42

Naturalized -

Canadian-Born -

National

(check)

Present Address

Lethbridge, Alta.

Married - Single ☒
(check)

Name of Wife

Name of Husband

Name of Mother

nee
(NAKAMURA)

Name of Father

Tatsuo #13205

Names of Children under 16

Jun #13204

5433

Requested by

Mama

Registered with Custodian

(Yes or No)

Additional Information

Millhand

5717

April 19th, 1944.

Mr. Jas. M. Campbell,
Barrister and Solicitor,
Mission City, B.C.

Dear Sir:

re: \$12.00 - Dr. E.J. Macrett v.
T. HAYASHI, Mission City

We submitted the above claim to Takeo HAYASHI, formerly of Mission, B.C., aged 21 years when registering with the Custodian April 1942, a mill-hand. He has now replied as follows:

"The only cases which I have in mind are all Compensation cases when I was hurt working in Sawmills."

As he wishes details of the claim we shall be obliged if you will check this account with the Doctor and let us have any particulars pertaining to the case.

An early reply is requested so that we can answer HAYASHI.

Yours truly,

B. R. Dusenbury,
Claims Department.

BRD:DE

Mr. J. V. McHenry
New River.

Alto.

In reference to file no. 5717

Department of the Secretary of the State
Office of the Comptroller
New River.

EVACUATION SECTION
APR 19 1944
5717
Referred to

In reply to your letter of March 14, as to
a claim of Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars to Mrs. L. J. Garrett
I would like to have this explained more fully as to what
for etc.

The only cases which I have in mind are
all compensation cases when I was last working on
Sawmills.

I would like to apologize for not answering
sooner but as I was away for quite a while and
did not think to have my mail forwarded I did not
receive the letter till recently.

Very truly
yours

Yours truly,
T. H. Hays

Reg. No. 13648

JAMES M. CAMPBELL
BARRISTER SOLICITOR
NOTARY PUBLIC

BOX 501
MISSION CITY, B. C.

April 22nd 1944.

MR. DUSENBURY
File No. 5717

The Custodian,
Japanese Evacuation Section,
506 Royal Bank Building,
VANCOUVER, B.C.

EVACUATION SECTION	
Rec'd	APR 24 1944
File No.	5717
Ans.	PRD
Referred	Dusenbury

Dear Sir:

Dr. Eacrett vs
Re: T. HAYASHI Claim \$12.00

Yours of the 19th. I find that this account is
against G. HAYASHI whose first name is Genno. T. HAYASHI
is the son of Genno HAYASHI and of course would be twenty-
one years old at the time of registering with the Custodian.

JMC:JB

No.
Approved by
Sakuro Hayashi
File 5433

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

5717

April 25th, 1944.

Mr. James M. Campbell,
Barrister and Solicitor,
Box 501,
Mission City, B.C.

Dear Sir:

re: \$12.00 - Dr. E.J. Macrett v.
T. HAYASHI, Mission City

We are in receipt of your letter of the 22nd inst. relative to the above, but it does not answer the purpose. It seems that Takeo HAYASHI is the proper party and that Gennō HAYASHI is the father. However, we shall be obliged if you will ask the Doctor to give you a statement of the account, including dates, as we may have to take the matter up with the Workmen's Compensation Board, in view of what Takeo has stated.

Kindly let us have prompt reply so that we can answer the letter received from him.

Yours truly,

B. R. Dusenbury,
Claims Department.

MRD:DE

No
1212
Hayashi
file 1933

JAMES M. CAMPBELL
BARRISTER SOLICITOR
NOTARY PUBLIC

BOX 501
MISSION CITY, B. C.
May 17th 1944.

Mr. Dusenbury
File No. 5717

EXCH. SECTION	
Rec'd	MAY 18 1944
File No.	5717
Ans.	RD
Referred	Dusenbury

The Custodian,
Claims Department,
506 Royal Bank Building,
VANCOUVER, B.C.

Dear Sir:

Takeo
Re: Dr. E. J. Macrett vs
T. HAYASHI \$12.00

Yours of the 25th. The particulars and items
are set forth in detail on the bill which is on file with you.
They are as follows:

July 1933	Stitching out foot - Takeo and dressing	\$8.00
Apr. 25 1941	Visit to Mrs. Hayashi at 4 a.m.	4.00
		<u>12.00</u>

*original
of on file
5433-father*

In view of these items I thought it must be the
father, as the name of the boy is mentioned particularly in
the first item and Takeo was only 21 in 1943 so that in 1933
he would be 12 years old, hardly an age to be under Workmen's
Compensation.

Yours truly,

JMC:JE

[Signature]

4999, 5433;
9717.

May 19th, 1944.

Mr. James M. Campbell,
Barrister and Solicitor,
Mission City, B.C.

Dear Sir:

re: \$12.00 - Dr. E.J. Macrett v.
T. HAYASHI

We are in receipt of your letter of the 17th inst. relative to the above. We are construing the above initial "T" to stand for Tatsuo and not Takeo, and are lodging the claim against Tatsuo, File 5433, father of Takeo.

Your letter of the 12th ult. confused us for the time, as Genno HAYASHI also has a son by the name of Takeo. However, as there is a claim for \$75.00 against Genno HAYASHI by Dr. Macrett, and as the particulars given by you in your letter of the 17th inst. seem to apply to Tatsuo HAYASHI, for son and wife, we are notifying as follows:

Genno HAYASHI, File 4999 - \$75.00
Tatsuo HAYASHI, File 5433 - 12.00

and hope that this is the correct solution.

Yours truly,

B. R. Dusenbury,
Claims Department.

~~BRIDE~~